



Cri. Bail Application No. 159/2026

(CNR No.: MHPU14-000384-2026)

Ram Anil Lokhande

Vs.

State of Maharashtra through

Baramati Taluka Police Station, Dist. Pune



Cri. Bail Application No. 165/2026

(CNR No.: MHPU14-000395-2026)

Tanmay Alias Tanmesh Sudhir Salunkhe

Vs.

State of Maharashtra through

Baramati Taluka Police Station, Dist. Pune

COMMON ORDER BELOW EXH. 1

1. These bail applications filed by applicants/ **Accused no.1 Tanmay alias Tanmesh Sudhir Salunkhe and accused no.2 Ram Anil Lokhande** under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023 for grant of bail, in connection with Crime No. 493/2025 for the offence punishable under Section 190, 191(3), 191(2), 189(2), 109 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS), 2023, registered with Baramati Taluka Police Station, Dist. Pune.

2. Case Information :

(A)	Case Details	
●	FIR Number & Date	: 493/2025
●	Police Station, District and State	: Baramati Police Station, Pune Rural, State - Maharashtra
●	Sections invoked	: 190, 191(3), 191(2), 189(2), 109 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS), 2023.
●	Maximum Punishment prescribed	: Imprisonment for life or 10 years and fine.

(B) Custody & Procedural Compliance	
● Date of Arrest	: 13/01/2026 and 01/12/2025
● Total period of custody undergone	: 02 Months 4 days and 3 Months 16 days
(C) Status of Trial	:
● Stage of proceedings	: Charge-sheet filed
● Total number of witnesses cited in the charge-sheet	: 15
● Number of prosecution witnesses examined	: --
D) Criminal Antecedents	: As per reply of prosecution Exh.5 no criminal antecedents against both applicants/accused.
● FIR No. & Police Station	: --
● Sections	: --
● Status	: --
E) Previous Bail Applications	: Criminal Bail Application no. 971/2025 of Accused no.2 Ram Lokhande
● Court	: Addl. Sessions Court – 2, Baramati, Dist. Pune
● Case No.	: Criminal Bail Application no. 971/2025
● Outcome of case	: Rejected.
F) Coercive Processes	: No
● Whether any Non-Bailable Warrant was issued	: --
● Whether declared a	: --

	proclaimed offender	
--	---------------------	--

3. **The allegations in the FIR are summarized as under:**

On 29/11/2025 at about 9.45 pm to 10.00 pm informant along with his friends had gone to dinner at Vrundavan Hotel, Baramati. There was crowd in the hotel and parking place was not available, therefore the informant was stopped parking his four wheeler in front of the hotel. At that time, one white colour Hyundai car came from Bhigwan side and the driver of said car stopped his car in front of the car of informant. The informant took his car in reverse side, again the driver of Hyundai car took his car ahead and stopped his car in front of the car of informant. The informant was taking his car ahead by next side, at that time another car came from behind and stopped and he was shouting on informant. Informant requested that, he has not committed any mistake as another car is stopped in front of his car. At that time one person alighted from the white car and started threatening to the informant, used criminal force against him, he gave threats, uttered abuses. He asked informant to alight from his car, when he alighted from the car accused used criminal force and violence. Thereafter, one friend of accused came there and he told to informant that, he shall leave said spot and he will give understanding to accused. Thereafter, informant left the spot.

4. The informant and his friends were sat for taking dinner, at that time again the accused and his associates came in same hotel and sat on adjacent table of informant. Again the accused was instigating and provoking informant, he ignored said talking of accused. Thereafter, the informant and his friends went

out. Again accused followed him with his associates. One white colour swift car and one more car were came at said place. The accused had talked with persons came in both cars. The informant gave understanding to the friend of accused. Thereafter, informant and his friends took dinner. After about 40 to 50 minutes when informant and his friends were coming out of the hotel after dinner, accused and his associates came before them armed with deadly weapons iron rod, wooden rod, knife, scythe. One white colour Bolero vehicle also came there, five-six persons alighted from said vehicle. All accused were holding iron rods and other deadly weapons. They assaulted informant and his friends. The informant sustained head injury and other multiple injuries. Accused gave threats to kill informant. They pelted stones on informant and his friends. The informant fled away from the said spot and went inside the hotel. Still the accused were pelting stones and bricks on them. They again assaulted informant on his head by iron rod. The door of the hotel was closed, still the accused tried to enter in the hotel from back side door. They also caused damages to the car of informant by inflicting blows of iron rods and pelting stones. The hotel employees were came to help the informant and his friends, they called police. Thereafter accused fled away from the spot. The informant and injured witnesses were sent for their medical examination to medical college and hospital by the police. He came to know names of some of the assailants. Then he lodged report. On the basis of said report, above referred crime has been registered.

5. Accused no.1 has been arrested on 13/01/2026 and accused no.2 has been arrested on 01/12/2025, they were

remanded to police custody remand. Now they are in Judicial Custody remand. The earlier bail application no. 971/2025 of accused no. 1 rejected vide order dated 20/01/2026. Hence, they have filed this application on the grounds raised in their respective bail applications.

6. The prosecution has strongly resisted both applications by filing its reply at **Exh.5** in both applications. Contents in it are nothing but replica of contents of FIR and replica of charge-sheet. Further the contention of prosecution shows that, the accused have committed serious offence. Other accused are absconding. There is possibility of destroying evidence. There is possibility of repetition of crime. There is possibility of threatening informant and prosecution witnesses. There is possibility of terror in the common people. Lastly, urged for rejecting the application.

7. Heard arguments of both sides. Learned counsel for the applicants/accused submitted that, earlier bail application no. 971/2025 of accused no.2 has been rejected by this court on the ground that, investigation is in progress and there is possibility of tampering evidence. Now, investigation is completed, charge-sheet is filed, nothing remained to be recovered or discovered. Names of accused implicated on information collected from other persons. Test Identification parade not conducted. Both applicants/accused have no criminal antecedents. Injuries are simple in nature. No specific role of each applicant/accused stated in the FIR. The victim has been discharged from the hospital on same day. They have co-operated the investigation officer. They are ready to obey the conditions. Hence, urged for granting bail.

8. The learned A.P.P. submitted that, the offence is serious one. The accused hatched conspiracy, called other associates, formed unlawful assembly and so as to create terror, assaulted informant and prosecution witnesses by deadly weapons iron rod, scythe, stones. These accused are having active role. There is possibility of tampering evidence. There is possibility of threatening informant and prosecution witnesses. There is sufficient material on record regarding complicity of accused in serious offence. Hence, urged for rejecting application.

9. On hearing submissions of both sides, I have evaluated the recitals of FIR, statements of witnesses, copies of medical papers and other documents. At this stage, it reveals from the material placed on record that, there is complicity of both accused in the crime registered against them. The names of both applicants/accused are specifically mentioned in the FIR. The investigation papers are placed on record. None of the victim sustained grievous hurts. Injuries of all injured are simple in nature. At this stage, it is not desirable to make much more comments on documents filed along with charge-sheet, as making such comments likely to cause prejudice to either side. The trial against the accused will take its own time for its conclusion. The accused are not required to be detained in jail for uncertain period till conclusion of trial by way of punishment. As per settled principle of law bail is rule and jail is an exception. Both applicants/accused have no criminal antecedents. Other accused are still absconding is not a ground to deny bail to these applicants/accused. In regard to apprehension of repetition of crime, apprehension of threatening witnesses, care will be taken by

imposing conditions on both applicants/accused. Hence, considering these aspects, this court is of the view that, the applicants/accused are entitled for bail. In the result, I pass following order.

ORDER

1. Both Bail Application **Nos.159/2026 (Exh.1) and 165/2026 (Exh.1)** are allowed.
2. Applicant/accused no.1 **Tanmay alias Tanmesh Sudhir Salunkhe** and accused no.2 **Ram Anil Lokhande** be released on bail in **C.R. No. 493/2025** for the offence punishable under Section 190, 191(3), 191(2), 189(2), 109 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS), 2023, registered with Baramati Taluka Police Station, Dist. Pune, on execution of PR. of **Rs.50,000/- (Rupees Fifty Thousand)** each and on furnishing surety in like amount **by each of them** on following conditions :
 - [i] The applicants/accused shall attend the Baramati Taluka Police Station once in a month on first Monday of every month in between **11.00 A.M. to 2.00 P.M. till conclusion of trial.**
 - [ii] The applicants/accused shall co-operate the investigation officer in investigation of absconding accused, if required.
 - [iii] The applicants/accused shall not temper with prosecution evidence or pressurize the informant, victim and witnesses in any manner whatsoever.
 - [iv] The applicants/accused shall give declaration of place of their residence and shall file the proof of residence, both present and permanent on record.
 - [v] The applicants/accused shall not leave the jurisdiction of this Court without prior permission.
 - [vi] The applicants/accused shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or

promise to any person including informant, victim and witnesses acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Court or any police officer.

[vii] The applicants/accused shall not indulge in any criminal activities.

[viii] The applicants/accused shall comply with Chapter-I, Paragraphs 1 to 6 of the Criminal Manual, 1980. (In view of order of the Hon'ble Bombay High Court passed in Criminal Application No.28 of 2010 in Public Interest Litigation No. 25 of 2010 on January 29, 2020.

3. If breach of the any of the above conditions committed, then investigating officer is as liberty to move application for cancellation of bail.
4. Interlocutory applications pending if any, stands disposed of.
5. The bail applications are accordingly disposed of.
6. Intimate to the concerned police station accordingly.
7. The soft copy of this order be sent to the accused by E-mail through Jail Superintendent as per the direction of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, in the case of in Re-policy Strategy for grant of bail in SMWP (Cri.No. 04/2021, dated 21/03/2024).

Baramati.
Date : 17/03/2026.

[B. D. Shelke]
Additional Sessions Judge,
Baramati, Dist. Pune

