



Cri. Bail Application No. 153/2026
Sandip Dashrath Bhise
Surekha Popat Bhondve
Hanumant @ Chakul Navnath Sonawane
Vs.
State of Maharashtra
(Through Baramati City Police Station)

(A) CASE DETAILS

FIR Number & Date	645/2021, dtd. 20.11.2021
Police Station, District and State	Baramati City Police Station
Sections invoked	Secs. 353, 332, 188, 143, 145, 149, 427, 504, 506 of IPC, Secs. 33(w)/135 of M.P. Act and Sec. 283 of M.V. Act
Maximum punishment prescribed	Sec. 332 of IPC- Imprisonment for three years

(B) CUSTODY AND PROCEDURAL COMPLIANCE

Date of Arrest	N.A.
Total period of custody undergone	N.A.

(C) STATUS OF TRIAL

Stage of proceedings	N.A.
Total number of witnesses cited in the charge-sheet	N.A.
Number of prosecution witnesses examined	N.A.

(D) CRIMINAL ANTECEDENTS

FIR Number and Police Station	NIL
Sections	NIL
Status	NIL

(E) PREVIOUS BAIL APPLICATIONS

Court	NIL
Case No.	NIL
Outcome of case	NIL

(F) COERCIVE PROCESSES

Whether any Non-bailable Warrant was issued	NIL
Whether declared a proclaimed offender	NIL

ORDER BELOW EXH.1

1. This application is moved by applicants/accused- Sandip Dashrath Bhise, Surekha Popat Bhondve and Hanumant alias Chakul Navnath Sonawane, to release them on anticipatory bail invoking Section 438 of The Code of Criminal Procedure (Cr.P.C.) for offence punishable under Sections 353, 332, 188, 143, 145, 149, 427, 504, 506 of the Indian Penal Code (IPC), under Sections 33(w)/135 of The Maharashtra Police Act (M.P. Act) and section 283 of the Motor Vehicles Act (M.V. Act), in Crime No. 645/2021, registered at Baramati City Police Station.

2. Heard Ld. Advocate for the applicants/accused and Ld. A.P.P. for the State. Perused the copy of FIR and police papers.

3. Under Section 482 of BNSS, any person may apply for anticipatory bail apprehending arrest in non-bailable offence, which the Court may grant considering the nature and gravity of accusation, antecedents of applicant, possibility of abscondance of accused and where the accusations are made for injuring or humiliating the applicant.

4. Facts appearing from the report dated 20.11.2021 lodged by complainant being Police Head Constable attached to Baramati City Police Station are that on 19.11.2021, at about 10.10 hours, police officials received phone call from police constable Waghmode about traffic jam and loud noise of Dolby at Limtek, Tq. Baramati. Accordingly, he with PHC Kale, Police Naik Shendge and Chavan approached the spot in a government vehicle, at Devkate Wasti, Limtek. They saw D.J. sound system under name Shrinath Om Digital played in loud sound, and approximately 60 to 70 persons including ladies dancing in front of it, causing traffic jam. They got down from vehicle and asked said persons to stop sound by informing them about prohibitory order of

District Magistrate, to which they did not pay heed. When informant approached the D.J. operator to stop sound, suddenly 10 to 12 persons including Ajay Sonawane, Akshay Shinde, Rohit Shinde and Mahesh Khilare came there. Accused-Akshay and Rohit abused him in filthy language with threats and other two persons threatened him to implicate in a false offence, and then, beat him with fist and kick blows and pushed him to the ground. Accused Akshay and Rohit pelted stones on government vehicle, due to which, police officials Kale and Shedge left the spot with vehicle. Thereafter, 7 to 8 lady-accused from the mob, rushed on his person, abused and threatened him with assault raising hands. Other assailants from the mob pelted stones on Dolby system. In the said incident, informant's uniform stained with blood of one assailant who sustained injury while breaking glass of Dolby. People from the mob took informant in front of house of one Bhima Sonavane and threatened him to lodge false complaint of snatching golden ornaments of ladies under influence of liquor. After some time, P.I. Mahadik with R.C.P. squad rushed there. All assailants ran away from the spot. Thereafter, complainant lodged report against accused persons, on which, FIR came to be registered and investigation commenced. Some of accused persons were arrested and charge-sheet vide R.C.C.No.568/2022 was filed against them before Ld. Magistrate, at Baramati.

5. Ld. Advocate for accused submitted that offence alleged against the accused is not punishable for more than three years for which their custody is not required for investigation. Accused are not specifically named in FIR for doing any overt act. Ld. Predecessor has released the arrested accused as well as the other accused on bail/anticipatory bail as per copies of orders in Cri.Bail Application Nos. 1338/2021, 1330/2021, 1307/2021, 1374/2021, 1298/2021, 1342/2021, 1360/2021 and 1064/2023. He

submitted that accused have no antecedents. They will abide by the conditions imposed by the Court. He submitted to allow the application.

6. On the other hand, Ld. A.P.P. for State submitted that police personnel were attacked by mob creating obstruction in performing public duties, and the offence came to be registered against the present accused also. All of them violated order under Section 144 of Cr.P.C. They have damaged the government vehicle. There is likelihood of tampering witnesses by them. Therefore, he submitted to reject the application.

7. The accused persons in the crime are charged with assaulting the police personnel while they approached the spot when traffic was obstructed by the persons dancing on D.J. sound tunes. The persons named in the FIR do not include the present accused who assaulted the police personnel and their names are not specifically mentioned in FIR with their role. While allowing the earlier applications by Ld. Predecessor releasing the accused being Cri.B.A. Nos. 1338/2021, 1330/2021, 1307/2021, 1374/2021, 1298/2021, 1342/2021, 1360/2021 and 1064/2023, accused therein were released on regular as well as anticipatory bail with observations regarding custodial interrogation of the accused seeking anticipatory bail was not required, and that conditions may be imposed on the accused. Perusal of the role of the accused in the FIR and say filed by I.O. does not disclose that custody of the accused is required for the purpose of investigation. Offence is not punishable for more than three years. In such circumstances mentioned above, the accused persons may be released on anticipatory bail subject to conditions. Hence, the following order:

ORDER

1. Application is hereby allowed.
2. The Applicants/accused-Sandip Dashrath Bhise, Surekha

Popat Bhondve and Hanumant alias Chakul Navnath Sonawane, shall be released on anticipatory bail in the event of their arrest in Crime No.645/2021, of Baramati City Police Station, on their executing Personal Bonds in the sum of Rs.50,000/- each and a Surety in the like sum each.

3. The applicants/accused shall not threaten, pressurize or dissuade complainant and any other prosecution witnesses directly or indirectly in any manner whatsoever, and shall not tamper evidence.
4. The applicants/accused shall attend concerned police station on 03.04.2026, between 11.00 a.m. to 2.00 p.m., for the purpose of investigation, as also, as and when called upon by police and shall co-operate in the investigation.
5. The applicants/accused shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.
6. The applicants/accused shall produce identity proof alongwith their contact numbers, and numbers of those persons who shall be approached in case the accused are found unavailable.
7. The applicants/accused shall not commit any offence during period of release, shall keep peace and maintain good behaviour.
8. Breach of any condition would result in cancellation of bail so granted.

Date :- 31.03.2026

(V. C. Barde)
Additional Sessions Judge,
Baramati.

CERTIFICATE

I affirm that the contents of this P.D.F. file Order are same word for word as per original Order.

Name of Stenographer : V.B. Lalsangi
Stenographer (G-1)

Court Name : Additional Sessions Judge,
Baramati, Dist. Pune.

Date of Order : 31.03.2026

Order signed by
Presiding Officer : 31.03.2026

Order uploaded on : 31.03.2026