

Asmita Khartode

Vs.

Popat Khartode

Order Below application dt. 22/12/2025

Read application and say of opponent. Perused record and proceeding. Heard advocates for both parties.

2. This application is filed by opponents for setting aside the order of No say dt. 02/07/2025 passed against him. According to him, Due to want of certain documents he failed to file his say, meanwhile no say order passed against him. If main application is decided without say of opponent then it will cause injustice on him. At last he prayed that in the interest of justice his application may be allowed.

3. This application is resisted by the applicant by way of filing her say and she submitted that opponent deliberately caused delay with intention to harass the applicant. Hence, she prayed for reject the application with heavy cost.

4. Main application is filed by applicant under provision of Protection of Women against Domestic Violence Act. Considering the nature of application and in the interest of justice it would be worthwhile to hear opponent to decide matter on merit and fair hearing and in the interest of natural justice, it is necessary to give one chance to the opponent to file his say on record. Inconvenience caused to the applicant may be compensate by way of imposing heavy cost on opponent. Hence,

the following order.

: O R D E R :

Application is allowed subject to cost of Rs.1000/- (Rupees One thousand only) payable to the applicant. An order of No say dt. 02/07/2025 passed against opponent is hereby set aside.

Pimpri.

Date : 06/03/2026.

(T.S. Mahadik)
Judicial Magistrate F.C.,
Pimpri.