

Order Below Exh. 1

1. Perused the record. Case is of 2009. Offences leveled against the accused punishable under Section 324, 332, 353 of the I.P.C.
2. In the present case non-bailable warrant as well as proclamation under Section 82 of the Cr.P.C. and Section 83 of the Cr.P.C. came to be issued against the accused. Even then, the accused is not traced out and his presence could not be secured. Therefore, it is sufficiently established that, the accused is absconded and that there is no immediate prospect of arresting him.
3. This Court is certainly competent to try the offences with which the accused is charge-sheeted. Under such situation, it will be just and necessary to proceed as per Section 299(1) of the Cr.P.C against accused. Therefore, prosecution shall produce the witnesses to be examined in absence of accused under Section 299(1) of the Cr.P.C.

Date : 06/08/2024

(P. S. Apte)
Judicial Magistrate First Class
Court No.2, Khadki, Pune.

R.C.C. No.511/2009
State v/s. Dattatraya
MHPU08-001500-2009

CERTIFICATE

I affirm that the contents of this P.D.F. file Judgment are same word for word as per original Judgment.

Name of Steno	:	S. S. Gupte, Stenographer (Grade III)
Court Name	:	P. S. Apte Judicial Magistrate First Class (Court no.2), Khadki, Pune.
Date of Order	:	06/08/2024
Judgment signed by presiding officer on	:	06/08/2024
Judgment uploaded on	:	07/08/2024