

MHPU080006092025



Reg. Cri. Case No. 139/2025  
State Vs. Tushar Dhananjay  
Karkhanis & Others  
Under Sections 406, 420, 465,  
467, 468, 471, 506 read with  
section 34 of I.P.C.

**ORDER BELOW EXH.5 BAIL APPLICATION**  
**(Dated- 30/10/2025)**

Read application and say filed by learned APP for the state. Heard both the parties. Perused the record. Perusal of the record shows that, accused filed instant application on 26/08/2025. But however, the learned counsel for the accused had not appeared before this court and the accused is under trial, therefore for giving fair opportunity of being heard to the accused, this application was not decided earlier. The learned counsel for the accused appeared and advanced the argument. He also filed pursis vide Exh.6 that due to his personal difficulty and some unavoidable circumstances he did not attend the court dates for argument. Therefore, after hearing of both the side today this court is deciding this application.

2. This is an application on behalf of accused Tushar Dhananjay Karkhanis for releasing him on bail on the grounds that, the investigation has been completed and the charge-sheet has been filed. Therefore, the accused is no more require for the purpose of investigation and interrogation. There is no evidence against the accused which may connect him with the alleged offences. He is falsely implicated in the present crime. No heavy amount transaction had done by the present accused. He was not indulged in any conspiracy. There is no role of present accused in the crime.

## 2 Reg. Cri. Case No. 139/2025

He is ready to abide any conditions imposed by this court. He is ready to co-operate with the concerned police. Therefore, raising all these grounds accused prayed for releasing him on bail.

3. Per contra, learned APP for the state resisted the application on the grounds that, the offences are non-bailable and serious in nature. Accused is habitual offender and other cases of similar nature are pending against him. Offence is economical. Accused cheated many people on false promise of giving job in Coal India Company. The accused cheated informant for huge amount on giving false promise of job in Coal India Company and for that purpose made forged document, Air Tickets and medical documents. Banking transactions was there. Charge-sheet shows involvement of the accused. There is no recovery of cheated amount. If the accused is release on bail then he may tamper evidence by threatening to the witnesses, he will commit similar kind of offence and will abscond from the local jurisdiction of this court. Therefore, prayed for rejection of this application.

4. After hearing both the parties. Perused the record. As per FIR, allegations are that in October 2021 informant met accused Dhananjay. He told to informant that his son Tushar has good reputation in Coal India Company. There is recruitment in Coal India Company and if informant paid an amount of Rs.16 Lakhs his son Sushant can get a job in Coal India Company. Accused persons gave false promise of job to the son of informant and cheated him. All the accused persons collected huge amount of Rs.16,50,000/- from the informant and cheated him. Therefore, being aggrieved by

### 3 Reg. Cri. Case No. 139/2025

the said incident informant lodged report at police station Vishrantwadi, accordingly Crime No.11/2025 came to be registered against the accused persons, for the offences punishable under sections 406, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 and 506 read with 34 of IPC.

5. The offences leveled against the accused persons are serious in nature. Though, the charge-sheet has been filed, but however perusal of the FIR prima facie shows direct involvement of the accused in the present crime. Huge amount was collected from the informant which is not yet recovered. The offence being economic in nature is a serious offence. Under such circumstances, it would not be justifiable to release the accused on bail. Hence, I pass the following order.

#### **ORDER**

The application is rejected.

Date : 30/10/2025.

(Maimuna Sultana M.)  
Judicial Magistrate First Class,  
Khadki, Pune.

## 4 Reg. Cri. Case No. 139/2025

### प्रमाणपत्र

मी अशी खात्री देते की, सदर पी.डी.एफ. फाईलमधील मजकूर मूळ आदेश/न्यायनिर्णयाप्रमाणे प्रत्येक शब्द बरोबर आहेत.

लघुलेखकाचे नाव	-	एस. एस. गुप्ते (लघुलेखक श्रेणी-३)
न्यायालयाचे नाव	-	मैमुना सुल्ताना एम. न्यायदंडाधिकारी प्रथमवर्ग, खडकी, पुणे
आदेश/न्यायनिर्णय जाहीर केलेची दिनांक	-	३०/१०/२०२५
पिठासीन अधिकारी यांनी सही केलेली दिनांक	-	३०/१०/२०२५
आदेश/न्यायनिर्णय अपलोड केल्याची तारीख	-	०१/११/२०२५