

SC 387/2022  
STATE Vs. BHARAT @ BHAIKAV AND KAKE  
FIR No. 222/2022  
PS: Sagarpur

28.04.2025

**Present:** Sh. Himanshu Garg, Ld. Substitute Addl. PP for the State.

Accused produced from JC.

Sh. Vikrant R. Sharma, Ld. Counsel for accused.

PW SI M.L. Meena in person.

Matter is fixed for PE.

SI M.L. Meena is examined-in-chief as PW-21. He is cross-examined and discharged.

At this stage, it is submitted by Ld. Addl. PP for the State that there is one CCTV footage relied upon by the IO in the charge-sheet, however, inadvertently the IO had not cited the said person as witness in the list of witnesses. It is submitted that the concerned witness be summoned for NDOH.

It is submitted by Ld. Counsel for the accused that he has no objection, if the prosecution is allowed to summon the witness pertaining to the CCTV footage though not cited as witness in the list of witnesses.

*Contd./-.....*

: 2 :

In view of the submissions of Ld. Addl. PP for the State and Ld. Defence Counsel, let the concerned witness be summoned through the IO.

Let copy of CCTV footage be supplied to the Ld. Counsel.

At this stage, it is submitted by Ld. Counsel the bail application of accused is also listed for today.

Heard part arguments on the bail application.

Put up for PE and remaining arguments on 08.05.2025.

**(KIRAN GUPTA)**  
**Addl. Sessions Judge-04**  
**Patiala House Courts**  
**New Delhi/28.04.2025**