

Union of India

Versus

SHP Properties Pvt. Ltd. etc.



Order below Exh.1
(Passed on 04/08/2026)

The complainant – Registrar of Companies, representing the Ministry of Corporate Affairs, seeks to withdraw the present complaint, vide Exh.2, with liberty to initiate fresh prosecution, if the adjudication fails.

2. He submitted that the present complaint is for non-disclosure of mandatory information, punishable as offence u/sec.134 of the Companies Act, 2013. By virtue of amendment Act of 2020 to the Companies Act, Section 454 has been inserted, by which, the said offence can be adjudicated in-house in the office of Registrar of Companies. In pursuance thereto, the complainant would first explore the avenue of adjudication, and would initiate the prosecution, only if the adjudication does not materialize.

3. Having regard to the above, the complaint is permitted to be withdrawn. The liberty is granted to file fresh prosecution, if the adjudication fails. Complaint is disposed off accordingly.

sd/-

(S.S. Kanthale)

Date : 04/08/2026

District Judge – 1, Pune.