

CNR No.MHPU010202142025



Central Bureau of Investigation (C.B.I.), Pune.
Anti-Corruption Branch, Pune

Vs.

Gowthaman Ganesan**COMMON ORDER BELOW EXHs.6 and 7**

- 1] The applicant/accused Gowthaman Ganesan has been granted bail, but he filed present applications contending that he is unable to furnish surety today, but he is ready to deposit cash security amount for his release instead of bond of recognizance. He is seeking time to furnish surety.
- 2] Perused the application and say of PP. Heard both sides.
- 3] Ld. PP objected both the applications contending that the offences are of serious nature, therefore, applicant/accused be not permitted to deposit cash security and time should not be granted for furnishing surety.
- 4] The applicant/accused has already been granted bail but today he is unable to furnish surety. The applicant/accused shown his willingness to furnish surety on next date. The applicant/accused was not arrested during investigation and if due to reason assigned by him for not furnishing surety today if he is sent behind bars then that would cause prejudice to him, therefore considering the facts and circumstance of the case, I proceed to pass the following order.

- i. The applications are hereby allowed.
- ii. The applicant/accused Gowthaman Ganesan be released on depositing cash security amount of Rs. 50,000/- and on executing PR. bond of same amount.
- iii. The applicant/accused shall follow the terms and conditions imposed upon him vide order passed below Exh.4.
- iv. The applicant/accused shall furnish the surety on or before next date as directed by this Court vide order below Exh.4.

Pune.
Date : 07/03/2026.

(R. R. Mendhe)
Special Judge, CBI-ACB, Pune.