



Criminal Bail Application No. 3498/2026

Vyankat Rammanna Sangana Vs State of
Maharashtra (Hinjawadi Police Station)

A. CASE DETAILS

- | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| 1. FIR Number and Date | 438/2026
dated 26/06/2026 |
| 2. Police Station, District and State | Hinjwadi Police Station,
Pune. |
| 3. Sections invoked | u/s. 105, 3(5) of the
Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita,
2023. |
| 4. Maximum punishment prescribed | Imprisonment for life
and fine. |

B. CUSTODY AND PROCEDURAL COMPLIANCE

- | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-------------|
| 1 | Date of arrest | 26/06/2026 |
| 2 | Total period of custody undergone | Till today. |

C. STATUS OF TRIAL

- | | | |
|----|--|--|
| 1. | Stage of proceedings | Chargesheet not
(investigation/chargesheet/cognizance/ filed.
framing of charge/trial) |
| 2. | Total No of witnesses cited in the NA
chargesheet | |
| 3. | Number of prosecution witness NA
examined | |

D. CRIMINAL ANTECEDENTS

- | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|----|
| 1 | FIR No. and Police Station | NA |
| 2 | Sections | NA |
| 3 | Status (pending/acquitted convicted) | NA |

E. PREVIOUS BAIL APPLICATIONS

- | | | |
|---|------------------|----|
| 1 | Court | NA |
| 2 | Case No. | NA |
| 3 | Outcomes of Case | NA |

F. COERCIVE PROCESSES

- | | | |
|---|---|----|
| 1 | Whether any non-bailable warrant was issued | NA |
| 2 | Whether declared a proclaimed offender | NA |

Appearance:- Ld. Advocate Mr. Khawale Siddheshwar Vasantao
for applicant/Accused.
Mr. Varma Ld. APP for State

ORDER BELOW EXH. 01
(Date :- 07/07/2026)

By this application, applicant **Vyankat Rammanna Sangana** has applied for bail under section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with CR No. 438/2026 registered with Hinjwadi Police Station, Pune for the offence punishable under sections 105, 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

2] The Investigating Officer, through the Learned APP for the State, has filed a say opposing the bail application.

3] The learned Advocate for the Applicant submitted that the Applicant has been falsely implicated in the present crime. He contended that the investigation qua the Applicant stands concluded, that the Applicant has a clean antecedent record, and that being a permanent resident of Pune, there is no likelihood of his absconding. He further submitted that the Applicant is ready to fully cooperate with the Investigating Agency and, therefore, prayed that this application be allowed and bail be granted to the Applicant.

4] *Per contra*, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State, while opposing the bail application, submitted that the investigation is still in progress. He further contended that the unfortunate incident occurred on account of the Applicant's failure to take requisite safety and security precautions at the construction site. He, therefore, prayed that the Applicant's application be rejected.

5] Having heard the parties and upon perusal of the record, more particularly the allegations in the FIR, it is revealed that on 12.03.2026 at about 11:30 a.m., the informant's son, while working on the landing/stairs of the fourth floor, lost his balance. As no railing had been provided on the staircases, he

fell down, sustained grievous injuries, and was admitted to Aundh Hospital, Pune, where he succumbed to his injuries.

6] It is further alleged that the construction site, situated at Survey No. 24, was owned by the present Applicant. Shri Sanjay Rajbhar was engaged as the contractor for the development/construction at the said site, and the deceased, Ashok, was working as a labourer engaged through the said contractor.

7] It is observed that the Applicant was arrested on 26.06.2026 and, as of 27.06.2026, is in judicial custody. A perusal of the case diary indicates that the statements of material witnesses have been recorded and incriminating articles have been seized. According to the learned Advocate for the Applicant, the formalities relating to filing of the chargesheet are yet to be completed.

8] The details furnished in the application, particularly under Clause-D relating to criminal antecedents, indicate that the Applicant has no prior criminal history. The Applicant is a permanent resident of Pune. The investigation and trial are likely to consume considerable time. While the incident alleged to have occurred on the fateful day is indeed unfortunate, having regard to the present stage of investigation and the Applicant's role therein, it would neither be just, legal, nor proper to incarcerate

the Applicant for an indefinite period. Accordingly, the Applicant can be enlarged on bail, subject to suitable conditions. Hence, following order is passed:

ORDER

1. Application (Exh.1) stands allowed.
2. Applicant **Vyankat Rammanna Sangana** shall be released on bail upon executing a Personal Recognizance Bond and furnishing Surety Bond in the sum of Rs. 25,000/- (Twenty Thousand Only), in Crime No. 438/2026 registered with Hinjwadi Police Station, Pune City for offences punishable under Sections 105, 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, on the following conditions:

[i] Applicant shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the present case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer.

[ii] Applicant shall not tamper with the prosecution evidence in any manner.

[iii] Applicant shall make himself available as and when called by the investigation officer and attend each and every date of the hearing of the court proceedings before

the Court concern.

[vi] Applicant shall submit his photo identities alongwith his contact details to the investigating officer.

3. Bail before concerned Court.
4. Copy of this order be forwarded to the Jail Authority, police station concern and informant/ victim.
5. In terms of above, Application (Exh.1) stands disposed.
6. Case diary is returned to the learned APP.
7. Humdast if applied, is allowed.

(Dictated and pronounced in open court)

Date: 07/07/2026

(S. B. Bhansali)
Additional Sessions Judge,
Pune.

Certificate

I affirm that the contents of this P.D.F. file order are same word for word as per original order.

Name of Steno. :- V. A. Chaudhari (Steno, Grade-I)

Court Name :- S. B. Bhansali
D.J.-4th & Addl. Sessions Judge, Pune.

Date :- 07/07/2026
order signed by P.O. on :- 07/07/2026
order uploaded on :- 07/07/2026