



Criminal Bail Application No.
3143/2026

Shivaji Narsing Mane Vs State Of
Maharashtra (Hinjawadi Police Station)

A. CASE DETAILS

1. FIR Number and Date 295/2026
dated 07/05/2026
2. Police Station, District and State Hinjawadi Police Station,
Pune.
3. Sections invoked u/s. 75(1), 75(2),
351(2) of the Bharatiya
Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and
67 and 67A of the
Information Technology
Act.
4. Maximum punishment prescribed Upto Five years.

B. CUSTODY AND PROCEDURAL COMPLIANCE

- 1 Date of arrest 13/05/2026
- 2 Total period of custody undergone Date of Arrest
13/05/2026 produce
date 14/05/2026 PCR
granted till 15/05/2026
MCR date 15/05/2026
at present applicant
languishing in Yerwada
Jail.

C. STATUS OF TRIAL

1. Stage of proceedings Investigation
(investigation/chargesheet/cognizance/

framing of charge/trial)

2. Total No of witnesses cited in the NA chargesheet
3. Number of prosecution witness NA examined

D. CRIMINAL ANTECEDENTS

- 1 FIR No. and Police Station NA
- 2 Sections NA
- 3 Status (pending/acquitted NA convicted)

E. PREVIOUS BAIL APPLICATIONS

- 1 Court NA
- 2 Case No. NA
- 3 Outcomes of Case NA

F. COERCIVE PROCESSES

- 1 Whether any non-bailable warrant was NA issued
- 2 Whether declared a proclaimed NA offender

Appearance:-

Ld. Advocate Mr. Gopal Bhosale, for applicant/Accused.

Ld. APP Mr. Varma, for State

Ld. Advocate Mr. Shivaji Gaikwad, for Informant/victim.

ORDER BELOW EXH. 01

(Date :- 03/07/2026)

By this application, applicant **Shivaji Narsing Mane** has applied for bail under section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha

Sanhita, 2023 in connection with CR No. 295/2026 registered with Hinjwadi Police Station, Pune for the offence punishable under sections 75(1), 75(2), 351(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and 67 and 67A of the Information Technology Act.

2] The investigating agency, through the learned Additional Public Prosecutor and the Victim through her Counsel, have filed their replies opposing the bail application.

3] The learned Advocate for applicant has further placed reliance upon the following decisions of the Hon'ble Superior Courts:

1. Pramod Anand Dhumal vs. The state of Maharashtra reported in 2021 ALL MR (Cri) 2790.
2. Dr. Shivamurthy Murugha Sharanaru vs. State of Karnataka reported in 2023 4 Crimes (HC) 250.

3] The learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that the Applicant has been falsely implicated and has no nexus with the present crime. The Applicant's further detention is not required as the investigation is over and charge-sheet is filed before the competent Court and there is no possibility of completion of the trial in near future. He then submits that the applicant is permanent resident of Umndaga Road, Taluka Ausa, District Latur with clear antecedents. Thus, the learned Advocate

urges the Court to enlarge the applicant on bail by allowing this application.

4] *Per contra*, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the state and Victim's learned Advocate submit that the offence is serious in nature and against the dignity of the victim. They further submit that if applicant is released on bail, he may pressurize the victim or other prosecution witnesses and will not co-operate the court in trial. Hence, they urge the Court to reject the bail application. In the alternative, they submit that stringent conditions may be imposed upon the Applicant while granting him bail.

5] Perused the record. Heard the learned advocate for the applicant and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the state and the victim's learned advocate appeared alongwith the victim before this Court.

6] As per the allegations in the FIR, the applicant on 05.05.2026 sent nude video and photographs to her husband and his cousin bother from different mobile numbers. There are further allegations that the applicant further questioned the victim's mother and sister as to why they took the victim when she was in love and had an affair with him and gave threats of beating and abusing them. He further gave threats of committing suicide.

7] On the aforesaid premises, the Hinjwadi Police Station has registered the present crime against the Applicant.

8] It appears from the record that the Applicant was arrested on 13.05.2026 and produced before the learned Judicial Magistrate First Class on 14.05.2026, whereupon he was remanded to police custody till 15.05.2026. Since then, the Applicant has been in judicial custody.

9] As per the submissions of both the Applicant and the Prosecution, the investigation qua the Applicant is complete and the chargesheet has been filed before the learned Judicial Magistrate First Class concerned vide R.C.C. No. 1600/2026.

10] The maximum punishment prescribed for the offences under Section 67 and Section 67-A of the Information Technology Act, 2000 is imprisonment which may extend to five years. The offences under Sections 75(1), 75(2), and 351(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 are likewise punishable with imprisonment for a term of less than five years.

11] Therefore, considering that the investigation is concluded, the chargesheet has been filed, and the trial will take its own course, coupled with the fact that no further seizure or recovery remains to be effected from the Applicant, it would not be just, legal, or proper to continue the detention of the

Applicant for an indefinite period. Accordingly, the Applicant may be enlarged on bail, subject to such certain conditions which will be imposed by this court. Hence following order:

ORDER

1. Application (Exh.1) stands allowed.
2. Applicants **Shivaji Narsing Mane** shall be released on bail upon executing a Personal Recognizance Bond and furnishing Surety Bond in the sum of Rs. 25,000/- (Twenty Five Thousand only), in Crime No. 295/2026 registered with Hinjawadi Police Station for offences punishable under Sections 75(1), 75(2) and 351 (2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and u/s. 67, 67-A Information Technology Act on the following conditions:

[i] Applicant shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the present case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer.

[ii] Applicant shall not tamper with the prosecution evidence in any manner.

[iii] Applicant shall make himself available as and when called by the investigation officer and attend each and

every date of the hearing of the court proceedings before the Court concern.

[vi] Applicant shall submit his photo identities alongwith his contact details to the investigating officer.

[vii] The Applicant shall not indulge in any act of sending, transmitting, or disseminating any videos, photographs, or other material pertaining to the victim, nor shall he communicate, either personally or through electronic/digital mode, with the victim, her relatives, or any person connected with the present case.

3. Bail before concerned Court.
4. Copy of this order be forwarded to the Jail Authority, police station concern and informant/ victim.
5. Intimate the concerned police station, Jail Authority and informant.
6. In terms of above, Application (Exh.1) stands disposed.
7. Humdast if applied, is allowed.

(Dictated and pronounced in open court)

Date: 03/07/2026

(S. B. Bhansali)
Additional Sessions Judge,
Pune.

CERTIFICATE

I affirm that the contents of this P.D.F. file order are same word for word as per original order.

Name of Steno	:- V. A. Chaudhari (Steno, Grade-I)
Court Name	:- S. B. Bhansali, D.J.-4 th & Addl. Sessions Judge, Pune.
Date Of Order	:- 03/07/2026
Order signed by P.O. on	:- 03/07/2026
Order uploaded on	:- 03/07/2026