

CBA No. 3030/2026

State Vs. Maruti

A. CASE DETAILS

- | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| 1. FIR Number and Date | 386/2026
dated 05/06/2026 |
| 2. Police Station, District and State | Hinjewadi, Pune. |
| 3. Sections invoked | Section 143(3) and 3(5)
of BNS and Section 3, 4,
5 and 7 of PITA Act. |
| 4. Maximum punishment prescribed | 10 years |

B. CUSTODY AND PROCEDURAL COMPLIANCE

- | | |
|-------------------------------------|----|
| 1 Date of arrest | NA |
| 2 Total period of custody undergone | NA |

C. STATUS OF TRIAL

- | | |
|--|-------------|
| 1. Stage of proceedings | Chargesheet |
| (investigation/chargesheet/cognizance/
framing of charge/trial) | |
| 2. Total No of witnesses cited in the chargesheet | Not yet |
| 3. Number of prosecution witness examined | Not yet |

D. CRIMINAL ANTECEDENTS

- | | |
|--|----|
| 1 FIR No. and Police Station | NA |
| 2 Sections | NA |
| 3 Status (pending/acquitted convicted) | NA |

E. PREVIOUS BAIL APPLICATIONS

1	Court	NA
2	Case No.	NA
3	Outcomes of Case	NA

F. COERCIVE PROCESSES

- 1 Whether any non-bailable warrant was issued NA
- 2 Whether declared a proclaimed offender NA

Appearance:-

Ld. Advocate Shri. S.P Jadhav for applicant.

Ld. APP Shri. Verma for State

ORDER BELOW EXH.1

(Date:24/06/2026)

1. Apprehending arrest, the applicant, ***Maruti Dnyaneshwar Murade***, seeks anticipatory bail in connection with C.R. No. 386/2026 registered at Hinjewadi Police Station, Pune, for offences punishable under Sections 143(3) and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (hereinafter referred to as “BNS”), and Sections 3, 4, and 5 of the Immoral Traffic (Prevention) Act, 1956 (hereinafter referred to as “PITA”).

2. The Investigating Officer through learned APP filed say and opposed the application.

3. The learned counsel for the applicant submitted that the offence alleged against the applicant is under Section 3 of the PITA Act, which carries a maximum punishment of three years. He then submitted that the co-accused has already been arrested and

everything has been seized and therefore, nothing is remained to be seized from the applicant. Hence, the learned Advocate submitted that the anticipatory bail may be granted to the applicant.

4. *Per contra*, the learned APP for the State opposed the bail application and submitted that the offence alleged is serious in nature. He contended that a strong prima facie case is made out against the applicant. He further submitted that custodial interrogation of the applicant is necessary to ascertain the identity and number of other girls/victims involved in the alleged illegal activity at the applicant's Spa Centre. In view of the above, the learned APP prayed that the application be rejected.

5. I have heard the learned Advocate for the Applicant and learned APP for the State. Perused the record.

6. As per the FIR it is alleged that on 05/06/2026, Police of Hinjewadi Police Station conducted a raid at Blue Diamond Relaxo Spa at Bhama Center Shop No.101, Srv.No.25/1, Bhujbal Vasti, Wakad, Pune upon a secret information that the Owner and Manager of the said Spa Center under the garb of Spa Center put some girls in the prostitution business.

7. It is further alleged that the applicant/accused is the lessee of Shop No. 101, which he has taken on lease from the lessor. During the raid, Police had seized copy of Lease agreement from the drawer of the Spa's reception Counter. It is further alleged that one Vaishali Santosh Chavan was managing the spa centre. It is further alleged that a decoy customer was sent to the said centre and, upon raid, was found in Room No.8 with a girl whose name and identity have

not been disclosed. The raiding party also found another girl in a separate room. Upon the aforesaid allegations, the instant C.R. came to be registered against the applicant and his accomplices.

8. According to the applicant's counsel, the applicant is engaged in the business of sale of agricultural equipment at Shop No. 201. However, it is pertinent to note that during the raid, the police seized a copy of the lease agreement from the drawer of the reception counter. This, prima facie, establishes a connection between the applicant and the spa centre, notwithstanding the submissions to the contrary made by his learned counsel.

9. Prima facie, more than one girl was recruited at the said spa centre for physical and sexual exploitation after being induced with the promise of payments or benefits to obtain their consent, over which the applicant allegedly exercised control. The statements of the victims recorded by the police, prima facie, indicate a nexus between the applicant and the said spa centre and allege sharing of money purportedly earned from the business of prostitution.

10. Although, as submitted by the learned counsel for the applicant, the applicant was not present at the spa centre at the time of the raid, his custodial interrogation is nevertheless necessary for a thorough investigation. As contended by the learned APP, such interrogation is essential to ascertain the manner and source by which the applicant and his accomplices succeeded in transporting the girls found at the spa centre at the time of the raid.

11. Considering the allegations made in the report, the role attributed to the applicant, the seizure of a copy of the lease

agreement from the drawer of the reception counter of the said spa centre, and the provision for punishment of imprisonment for life for the offence punishable under Section 143(3) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, I do not deem it is a fit case to exercise discretion in favour of the applicant for grant of anticipatory bail in connection with the said crime. Hence, the following order is passed:

ORDER

- i). Application (Exh.1) stands rejected.
- ii). Let a copy of this order be sent to the concern police station.
- iii). The Anticipatory Bail Application stands disposed.
- iv). Directly dictated on the P.C. and pronounced in open Court.

Pune.

Date:24/06/2026

(**Sachin B.Bhansali**)
Additional Sessions Judge,
Pune.