

CNR NO.MHPU01-004909-2026



Cri. Bail Application No.2076/2026

**Sarthak @ Purvesh Dinesh Chavan
Vs
State of Maharashtra**

ORDER BELOW EXH.01

(Delivered on this 22nd day of June, 2026)

The present application is filed by applicant/accused under **Section 482** of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for anticipatory bail in respect of C. R. No.15/2026 registered with Ambegaon Police Station for the offence punishable under Section 3(25) of Arms Act and Section 37(1)(3) r/w 135 of the Maharashtra Police Act.

2. The applicant contended that, he is permanently residing at the address mentioned in the cause title of the application. He has not committed any offence. False offence is lodged against him.

3. He further contended that, on 09.01.2026 the informant who is Police Officer had lodged report alleging therein that on 09.01.2026 he alongwith API Dnyaneshwar Dhavale and other staff were doing patrolling. They came near Navale Bridge. At that time, they got information that Rohit Anil Sangam is possessing pistol and is standing near Swaminarayan Temple, Onkar Lodge, Pune. Accordingly, the information about the same was given to the Senior. He directed them to take action. Accordingly, they alongwith the panchas went at said place. There they found that Rohit Anil Sangam is standing at said place. They apprehended him. They took his personal search in presence of panchas. In which one pistol and one live

cartridge was found in his possession. On asking about it, he told that the applicant had given him to keep said pistol.

4. He further contended that, he was not arrested on the spot. He is arrayed as an accused on the basis of the statement of co-accused. The pistol and live cartridge is seized by the raiding team at the instance of co-accused. The said fact shows that, nothing is to be recovered or discovered at his instance. He prayed that, he may be released on anticipatory bail.

5. Learned APP filed his say. He contended that the offence is serious in nature. The co-accused was found possessing country-made pistol and live cartridge. The co-accused have stated that, the applicant had given said pistol to him. The custodial interrogation of the applicant is necessary so as to verify from where he had brought said pistol and how many other accused are involved in the offence. The investigation of the offence is in progress. Therefore, if the applicant is released on anticipatory bail there are chances that he may pressurize the witnesses and tamper the evidence of prosecution. He prayed that the application be rejected.

6. Heard learned Advocates appearing for parties.

7. On perusal of material available on record it appears that, on 09.01.2026 the informant who is Police Officer had lodged report contending therein that on 09.01.2026 he alongwith API Dnyaneshwar Dhavale and other staff were doing patrolling. They came near Navale Bridge. At that time, they got information that Rohit Anil Sangam is possessing pistol and is standing near Swaminarayan Temple, Onkar Lodge, Pune. Accordingly, the information about the same was given to the Senior. He directed them to take action. Accordingly, they alongwith the panchas

went at said place. There they found that Rohit Anil Sangam is standing at said place. They apprehended him. They took his personal search in presence of panchas. In which one pistol and one live cartridge was found in his possession. On asking about it, he told that the applicant had given him to keep said pistol.

8. The material available on record shows that, the co-accused was found possessing country-made pistol and live cartridge. The co-accused had disclose that, the applicant had given him to keep said pistol. Therefore, the custodial interrogation of applicant is necessary for the purpose of discovering from where he had brought said pistol and how many other accused are involved in the offence. Therefore, taking into consideration all the above facts, in my opinion, the applicant is not entitled to the discretionary relief of anticipatory bail. In view thereof, I proceed to pass following order :-

ORDER

The application is rejected.

Date : 22/06/2026

(H. K. Bhalerao)
Additional Sessions Judge
Pune.

CERTIFICATE

I affirm that the contents of this PDF file are same word to word as per original.

Name of Steno	:	T. A. Sayyad Stenographer (Grade-I)
Court name	:	Mr. H. K. Bhalerao Addl. Sessions Judge, Pune.
Order delivered on	:	22/06/2026
Order signed by PO on	:	22/06/2026
Order uploaded on	:	22/06/2026