



SESSIONS CASE NO.261/2015
CNR No.MHPU01-004270-2015
State of Maharashtra
Through Chatushrungi Police Station, Pune
Vs.
Yogita Ganesh Rajput & Ors.

ORDER BELOW EXH.126

Applicant/Accused No.2 Shailesh Hanumanta Dhotre seek his release on bail under Section 439 of Cr.P.C., in connection with CR No.407/2014 registered with Chatushrungi Police Station for the offence punishable under Sections 395, 382, 452 of the Indian Penal Code.

2. The prosecution case reveals that the applicant/accused along with co-accused and child in conflict with law has committed robbery in the dwelling house of the informant Ashwini Nikhil Rane and looted cash amount of Rs.53,000/- and silver chain.

3. The applicant/accused was released on default bail vide order dated 09/03/2015. After release on bail, for one occasion, his non-bailable warrant was cancelled for the reasons stated in the application. Thereafter, due to continuous absence of the applicant/accused, again non-bailable warrant is issued against him. On execution of warrant, he is produced before the Court on 24/02/2026 and since then he is behind bar.

4. The Ld. Advocate for the applicant/accused submits that the applicant/accused is a labourer and for his livelihood, he went

outstation and so, he could not attend the Court date. He further submits that one opportunity may be granted to the applicant/accused to mend his way and henceforth, he will attend the Court date promptly. The Ld. APP resisted the application and submitted that due to absence of the applicant/accused, matter has been prolonged. The conduct of the applicant/accused shows that he is not entitled for bail and requested to proceed with the matter by keeping the applicant/accused behind bar.

5. On going through the case record, it shows that due to absence of the applicant/accused on earlier occasions, non-bailable warrant was issued and it was thereafter, recalled. It appears that the charge against the applicant/accused is framed and one witness is examined. The applicant/accused was on bail. Considering the conduct of the applicant/accused, one opportunity would be granted to the applicant/accused to mend his way with a rider that if he did not co-operate during the trial then he would not be entitled for any premium. Eventually, the applicant/accused is entitled to release on bail with certain conditions. Ergo, the following order :

ORDER

1. The application (Exh.126) is allowed.
2. Applicant/Accused No.2 Shailesh Hanumanta Dhotre be enlarged on bail on his furnishing P.R. & S.B. of Rs.15,000/- with one surety of like amount subject to payment of penalty of Rs.500/-.

3. Applicant/Accused No.2 shall attend the dates of hearing of the case punctually.
4. Applicant/Accused No.2 shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with facts of accusation, so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any Police Officer.
5. Applicant/Accused No.2 is directed to furnish his residential proof and contact number to the concerned police station.
6. Inform by e-mail to jail authority.

(Dictated and pronounced in open Court.)

Pune.

Date : 25/02/2026

(B. V. Wagh)

Additional Sessions Judge, Pune.

CERTIFICATE

I affirms that the contents of this P.D.F. file Order are same word for word as per original Order.

Court Name	:	B. V. Wagh, District Judge – 1 and Addl. Sessions Judge, Pune.
Name of Steno	:	Abhishek Arun Gite (Stenographer Grade - I)
Date of Order	:	25/02/2026
Order signed by Presiding Officer on	:	25/02/2026
Order PDF and Uploaded on	:	25/02/2026