



**Cri. Bail Appl. No. 1252/2026**  
(CNR No. : MHPU01-002934-2026)

**Krushna Sonar & Ors.**  
**Vs.**  
**State of Maharashtra**

**ORDER BELOW EXH. 1**

1. This is an application for regular bail filed by the applicants/accused **Krushna Bhaskar Sonar, Rekha Bhaskar Sonar** and **Bhaskar Marutirao Sonar** under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023 in connection with Crime No. 47/2026, registered with Police Station, Sahkarnagar, Pune for the offence punishable under Sections 137(2), 64, 64(2)(i)(m) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (In short referred to as “BNS”) and Sections 4, 8, 12, 16, 17 of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012 (In short referred to as “POCSO”).

2. The prosecution has filed say and opposed this bail application. The victim filed say on record and given no objection to release the accused.

3. Heard learned advocate for the applicant/accused and Spl.PP for the State. Perused application, say filed by the Prosecution and police papers.

4. It is argued by the learned advocate for the applicants/accused that initially FIR was lodged on 14/02/2026 against unknown person. Victim was found on 26/02/2026. Victim and accused were residing together since 15/02/2026 to 26/02/2026. Accused is in custody since 26/02/2026. Most of the investigation is completed. Statement of victim under Section 183 of BNSS is yet

to be recorded. Age of victim is 15 years, 2 months. She has enough maturity to understand the consequences of act done by her. Accused is ready to abide terms and conditions of the Court and hence prayed for grant of bail.

5. The learned Spl.PP has submitted that victim has given no objection to release the accused on bail, it is nothing but to pressurize the prosecution witnesses. Victim and accused got acquainted with each other on Instagram in the month of November 2025 and offence took place on 14/02/2026 within three months. Accused had taken the victim to Barshi, Hyderabad, Telangana. Investigation is in progress. Therefore accused should not be released on bail.

6. On perusal of record it seems that in the statement victim stated that she at her own accord joined the company of accused No.1. Thereafter accused No.2 and 3 helped them to avoid the arrest. Then accused No.1 had taken her to Barshi, Hyderabad, Telangana. Investigation is in progress. Medical papers are supporting to the prosecution story. Therefore, I come to the conclusion that the accused are not entitled for grant of bail at this juncture and hence, I pass the following order.

**ORDER**

1. The application (Exh.1) is rejected.
2. The bail application is accordingly disposed of.

Pune.  
Date : 28/03/2026.

[ **Kavita D. Shirbhate** ]  
Special Judge (under POCSO Act) &  
Additional Sessions Judge, Pune

