

MHPU010026482026

Akash  
Vs.  
State**Order below Exh.01**(Dated: 17<sup>th</sup> March, 2026)

Present application has been e-filed by applicant namely **Akash Narayan Karmore** under section 482 of The Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS) for grant of anticipatory bail in connection with Crime No.78/2026 registered with Police Station Vishrantwadi, Pune, as he is having apprehension of his arrest for non-bailable offence punishable under Section 69 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS).

2. Perused application, say of Investigating officer and Written Notes of Arguments of Ld. Advocate for victim. Also perused copy of investigation papers and documents filed on record. Heard Smt. Asha Jadhav, Ld. Advocate for the applicant, Mr. P. N. Bombatkar Ld. PP for the State, Victim in person and Mr. Akash Birajdar Ld. Advocate for victim.

3. It is contended by the Ld. Advocate for the applicant that the applicant has been falsely implicated in the alleged offence and he has not done anything as alleged by the informant. The report filed by informant is false, baseless, concocted and afterthought. It is contended that the applicant filed RTI application on 20/12/2025, regarding all statements and procedure done by Investigating Officer. The applicant received RTI report on 09/01/2026 and thereafter on 07/02/2026 closed his application filed against informant. As soon as applicant closed his application on 07/02/2026, informant again became active and started threatening applicant. She tried his phone calls and called his relatives also. Thereafter, on 25/02/2026,

informant again called applicant through Police Officer and later on filed report against him. The informant made phone calls many times to demand money and came at applicant's residence for money. She is continuously demanding amount of Rs.10 Lakhs from applicant. The applicant filed complaint regarding her extortion and threats on 01/01/2026 at Police Commissioner, Pune and Deputy Commissioner of Police, still police machinery has not taken any action. It is contended that in complaint application, the applicant has mentioned every fact happened and threats given by informant.

4. It is contended that there is unexplained gross delay of more than 2.5 years for filing FIR from the date of incident as alleged by informant and also explained afterthought story made by the informant. The informant is threatening the applicant since 11/09/2025. Not only informant, but her brother also threatened the applicant many times. It is contended that the applicant is having all recordings about the threatening calls and WhatsApp conversations with informant and her brother also. The victim is elder than the applicant, so there is no chance of their marriage. The applicant never gave promise to victim to solemnize marriage with her. The applicant was not residing at given address prior to 13/04/2024, so the allegations of victim are false. It is contended that though it is not admitted, but the act of sexual intercourse between applicant and victim is consensual and not based on any promise or threats.

5. It is contended that no explanation has been offered for gross delay in the report. The allegations made in the report are prima facie absurd and smacks of concoction. There are no criminal antecedents at the credit of applicant. It is contended that the allegations do not constitute the alleged offence. The allegations are vague, general and bald in nature. The entire story of the alleged

offence is depending only on the statement of the informant and there is no substantive evidence to support the story of the prosecution and sending the applicant behind the bars.

6. It is contended that the applicant is a sole bread winner of his family. The case has been presented on the sole testimony of the informant which is also not corroborating with the evidence. It is contended that arrest and detention of a person in police custody causes incalculable harm to his reputation and self-esteem. It is contended that the applicant has deep roots in the society and hence, there is no possibility of his absconding and/or running away from the course of justice. The applicant is ready to abide by all the terms and conditions, if any, imposed by this Court while granting him anticipatory bail, therefore, it is prayed to allow the application.

7. *Per contra*, I.O. and Ld. PP strongly opposed the application contending that the alleged offence is very serious and the applicant committed sexual intercourse with victim on the promise of marriage and deceived her. The applicant is absconding since commission of offence. There are specific date wise allegations against the applicant. The victim allowed applicant for sexual intercourse as the applicant had given false promise of marriage. The detail investigation is required to be done and for that the custodial interrogation of the applicant is necessary. If the anticipatory bail is granted to applicant, he may pressurize the informant and witnesses, he may commit similar type of offence, there may be danger to the life of informant and witnesses. Therefore, it is prayed to reject the application.

8. The victim and her Ld. Advocate also strongly objected the application contending that between December-2023 to

November-2024, time to time applicant established sexual relations with victim with false assurance of marriage. The alleged offence is of grave and serious in nature involving exploitation of victim by deceitful means. He played with emotions of victim and cause mental and emotional harm to her. The statement of victim, electronic chats, call record are indicating the involvement of applicant in serious crime. The investigation may require the collection of digital evidence. The statement of victim under section 183 of BNSS is yet to be recorded. The applicant emotionally blackmailed the victim and obtained money from her. If the applicant is granted anticipatory bail, then he may create hurdle in investigation and may hamper the investigation, therefore it is prayed to reject the application.

9. On perusal of copy of investigation papers, it appears that the victim is 34 years old lady and the applicant is 31 years young boy. They were working in the same company. As per FIR, the friendly relations between them developed which turned into love relationship. There is allegation that the applicant gave assurance of marriage and have sexual intercourse with victim on 30/12/2023 at his flat. There are allegations that till November-2024 applicant committed sexual intercourse with victim on the promise of marriage, but since July 2025 he started to avoid the victim. There is allegation that applicant refused to marry with the victim as his family members are against the said marriage, therefore, victim lodged report against the applicant. On perusal of documents filed on record by applicant, it appears that the applicant had lodged report against victim alleging that she is blackmailing and threatening him. It also appears that later on applicants withdrew his complaints as victim stopped to give threats to him. It appears from the WhatsApp chats uploaded by applicant that victim was insisting upon applicant to marry with her. The WhatsApp Chat does not show that applicant ever gave promise

to marry with victim. The victim has not filed any WhatsApp chats to show that applicant had given her promise of marriage. The victim is major. There are no allegation in FIR that the applicant obtained money from the informant/victim. It appears from the facts and circumstances that if there had been any sexual intercourse between them that may be with the consent of the victim. There is reasonable delay in lodging FIR against applicant. Therefore, considering the facts and circumstances, the contents of WhatsApp chats and earlier report lodged by applicant against victim, I am of the opinion that this is fit case to exercise discretion in favour of applicant to grant him anticipatory bail on certain conditions to safeguard the interest of investigating agency. Hence, I proceed to pass following order;

- i) Application for anticipatory bail (**Exh.01**) is hereby allowed.
- ii) In the event of arrest of present applicant **Akash Narayan Karmore** in connection with Crime No.78/2026 registered with police station Vishrantwadi for the offences punishable under sections 69 of the BNS, he be released on executing Personal Recognizance Bond of Rs.50,000/- with one solvent surety in the like amount.
- iii) The applicant shall attend Police Station Vishrantwadi on 19/03/2026 between 11.00 a.m. to 01.00 p.m. and as and when called by the Investigating Officer by serving written notice till filing of charge-sheet. He shall cooperate with the investigation.
- iv) The applicant shall file proof of his permanent residential address and address proof of his two relatives (excluding persons residing with him) before Investigating Officer on 19/03/2026.
- v) The applicant shall furnish his valid mobile number and valid mobile numbers of his two relatives and surety, if any, before Investigating Officer on 19/03/2026.

- vi) The applicant shall not leave Pune district without prior written permission of investigating officer till filing of charge-sheet and thereafter without prior permission of Ld. Trial Court.
- vii) The applicant shall attend date of proceeding during trial, regularly.
- viii) The applicant shall not commit an offence similar to the offence of which he is accused, or any other offence.
- ix) The applicant shall not contact the informant or any witness by any mode or manner till the conclusion of trial.
- x) The applicant shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence.
- xi) Breach of any of the above mentioned condition shall be the ground for prosecution to move application for cancellation of bail of applicant.
- xii) The copy of this order be forwarded to concerned police station for information.

Date : 17/03/2026.

(R. R. Mendhe)  
Addl. Sessions Judge,  
Pune.

**CERTIFICATE**

I affirm that the contents of this P.D.F. file order are same word for word as per original order.

Court Name : Shri. R.R.Mendhe,  
Addl. Sessions Judge, Pune.

Name of Steno : I. E. Deshmukh  
(Stenographer Grade-1)

Date of order : 17.03.2026.

Order digitally signed and uploaded on : 17.03.2026.