

**ORDER BELOW EXH. 211 IN CIVIL SUIT NO. 10/2017**

1- While arguing on this application by both the sides, the medical report dated 06.07.2019 from the KEM Hospital, Pune, given by Dr. Bindu Patni, Child Psychologist, is pointed out where she has made certain recommendations for the child.

2- According to the learned advocate for the wife, she is providing the treatment which includes occupational therapy and remedial measures, as recommended in the said report.

3- It is submitted by the learned advocate for the husband that whatever treatment the wife is giving to the child, is not at all recommended by Dr. Bindu Patni.

4- In view of the above contrary stands, the Court recommends both the parties to go to Dr. Bindu Patni again and get proper guidance, if any, in the light of the said report given by her, by updating her regarding the treatment given to the child, after the said report.

5- The learned advocate for the husband submits that he will seek appointment and availability of Dr. Patni and accordingly will inform the learned advocate for the wife, for doing the needful.

Matter adjourned to 14.12.2020.

Place– Pune  
Date – 27.11.2020

(Neeraj P. Dhote)  
Principal District Judge, Pune.

I affirm that the contents of this P.D.F., file order /judgment are same word for word as per original Judgment.

Name of Steno : Mrs. S. S. Yengul,  
Stenographer (Grade-1)

Court Name : Shri. Neeraj P. Dhote  
Principal District &  
Sessions Judge, Pune.

Date : 27.11.2020  
Judgment signed by P.O. on : 27.11.2020  
Judgment uploaded on : 01.12.2020