

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL DISTRICT JUDGE, AT - PUNE.

CIVIL SUIT NO. 10/2017

(CNRMHPU01-002588-2017)

Rishad Darayas Cooper .. *Plaintiff*
Vs.
Nahida Rishad Cooper .. *Defendant*

ORDER BELOW EXH. 1

1- Learned advocate for the plaintiff and learned advocate for the defendant are present.

2- On the previous date in respect of access of the child by the father, it was proposed that the access be allowed in the house of child's friend namely Rudra, which was at the distance of two (2) minutes by walk, from the house of the defendant-wife. It is submitted by the learned advocate for the plaintiff that the consent of the father of the said child Rudra was sought and accordingly, it was communicated to the learned advocate for the defendant-wife. It is further submitted that the response of the defendant- wife came in one page, where, she showed her unwillingness to provide the access of the child at the said house of Rudra.

3- According to learned advocate for the plaintiff, so many words in response by the wife of the plaintiff were unwarranted, to which, the learned advocate for the defendant-wife submits that the contents of the said reply are the concern of the wife for her child in the pandemic situation.

4- Be that as it may, both the learned advocates for the parties have now agreed for access of child Marc by the plaintiff-husband in the premises of Devi Chambers, where, the defendant-wife is residing. They agree that the said access be allowed on Sunday 16th August, 2020, and thereafter on every Sunday at 11.00 a.m. for a period of one hour. The virtual access to continue, until further orders.

It is needless to state that considering the present situation of Covid-19 pandemic, the plaintiff-husband shall take all precautions while meeting his son Marc. The parties, through their advocates agree that at the time of access between the child and the plaintiff-father, the defendant-mother will not be nearby. Both the sides agree that the present access is keeping in view of Covid-19 pandemic and once the situation gets normal, the earlier orders of access will follow.

5- It is submitted by the learned advocate for the defendant-wife that the plaintiff-husband has not filed reply to the application filed by the wife for her maintenance. It is submitted by the learned advocate for the plaintiff-husband that there is no such application on record. Under such circumstances, the learned advocate for the defendant-wife to point out the said application for maintenance from the record, on the next date.

List the matter on 18th August, 2020.

Place- Pune

Date – 11.08.2020

(Neeraj P. Dhote)

Principal District Judge, Pune.

I affirm that the contents of this P.D.F., file order /judgment are same word for word as per original Judgment.

Name of Steno : A. G. Tawale
Stenographer (Grade-1)

Court Name : Shri. Neeraj P. Dhote
Principal District &
Sessions Judge, Pune.

Date : 11-08-2020
Judgment signed by P.O. on : 11-08-2020
Judgment uploaded on : 11-08-2020.