

ORDER BELOW EXH.1 IN
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO.668/2026

Applicant **Annapureddy Ishwar Reddy (Ishwarreddy Annapure Reddy)** has taken out present application for grant of pre-arrest bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (BNSS) apprehending his arrest in C.R. No.738/2024 registered at Chaturshringi Police Station, Pune for the offences punishable under Sections 408, 420, 467, 471 r/w 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereinafter referred as **IPC**).

2. Perused the application, reply of the respondent/prosecutor and documents annexed therewith. Heard, arguments advanced by Ld. Advocate for applicant, Ld. APP and submission of Investigating Officer.

3. Learned Advocate for applicant argued that, this is the first anticipatory bail application taken out by applicant. There is one and half year delay in lodging the F.I.R. As the applicant was going to switch over the company, informant has lodged false report against the applicant. Applicant is permanent resident of Pune and he is not having any criminal antecedents. *Prima-facie* case for the offences alleged is not made out. Considering nature of crime, custodial interrogation of applicant is not necessary. The offences are also not much serious and serious punishment is not provided for same. Applicant is ready to abide all the terms and conditions. Therefore, Ld. Advocate for applicant prayed that, considering the nature of the offence, application be allowed.

4. On the other hand, Ld. APP argued that, offences alleged are of serious nature. The applicant had intention to cheat the company of informant since inception. *Prima-facie* case for the offences alleged is made out against applicant. Applicant misuse his authority and submitted forged documents to the company and also misappropriated amount of Rs. 22,62,922/-. The role of the applicant is different than the co-accused who is granted anticipatory bail. Applicant is the main accused. Applicant did not cooperate investigating officer and did not appear before him. Therefore, there is necessity of custodial interrogation of the applicant. *Prima-facie* case for the offences alleged is made out. Material information in respect of the crime and the property involved in the crime may be recovered by custodial interrogation. The offences alleged are of serious nature. Therefore, Ld. APP submitted that, application be rejected. Investigating officer submitted that applicant with dishonest intention misuse the authority given to him by the company and misappropriated huge amount of the company and submitted forged document with the company. The investigation revealed that the amount transferred to the alleged employees was re transferred to the account of applicant. The applicant did not cooperate in the investigation. Therefore, custodial interrogation of the applicant is necessary for obtaining material information about the crime.

5. From the appreciation of matter on record, it appears that, C.R. No.738/2024 is registered against applicant at

..3..

Chaturshringi Police Station, Pune for the offences punishable under Sections 408, 420, 467, 471 r/w 34 of IPC. From the FIR and the material on record, it appears that, applicant with dishonest intention misappropriated huge amount of company and also tendered forged documents with the company for cheating the company. Therefore, there appear intention to deceive informant's company since inception. From the appreciation of the material on record, it reveals that, applicant is involved in the present crime and prima-facie case for the offences alleged is made out against applicant. It appears that applicant has played main role in the crime. Considering the averments in the FIR and nature of crime, the property involved in the crime and material information in respect of crime is likely to be obtained by custodial interrogation of the applicant. Therefore, there appear no substance in the contention of applicant that custodial interrogation is not necessary in the present case and present matter is of civil nature to which colour of criminality is given. Moreover, offence alleged to have been committed by applicant is of grievous nature and severe punishment is provided for same. The huge amount of informant's company is involved in the crime.

6. Considering the above facts and discussion and prima facie appreciation of the material on record, grant of pre-arrest bail to the applicant is likely to be prejudicial to the investigation and investigation is likely to be hampered.

7. Considering the nature of the offence, gravity of the offence and fact that, investigation in respect of the material facts

..4..

is yet to be completed, there appear no justifiable ground for releasing the applicant on pre-arrest bail. As such, present application is liable to be rejected. Hence, the following order.

ORDER

1. Criminal Anticipatory Bail Application No.668/2026 in C.R.No.738/2024 of applicant **Annapureddy Ishwar Reddy (Ishwarreddy Annapure Reddy)**, is rejected.
2. Criminal Anticipatory Bail Application No.668/2026 is disposed of accordingly.

(Pronounced in open Court)

Date : 26/02/2026

(K.P Kshirsagar)
Addl. Sessions Judge, Pune.

..5..

CERTIFICATE

I affirm that the contents of PDF file Order/Judgment are same word for word as per original Order/Judgment.

Name of Steno	Smt. D. H. Patil (G-I)
Court Name	Shri. K. P. Kshirsagar Additional Sessions Judge, Pune.
Date of Order/Judgment	26/02/2026
Order/Judgment signed by Presiding Officer on	26/02/2026
Order/Judgment uploaded & PDF on	26/02/2026