

Exhibit No. : 39

VAKALATNAMA

IN THE COURT OF Civil Judge S.D. at Gangakhed
NO. /

Spl.C-S. 04/2026

Plaintiff/Applicant/appellant
Petitioner/Complainant etc. }

Rahila Begum

Versus

Defendant / Respondent
Opponent / accused, etc. }

Madhusudan

CLAIM/CHARGE Perpetual inj.
I/We, the undersigned,

ORDER
Seen & Filed

Madhusudan s/o Manikrao
Kendre Age 59 yrs 0024
Agn B/o Bhagyanagar
Gangakhed

Above named hereby appoint & authorise

CJSD, Gangakhed

Adv. S. A. Deshpande
Parbhani

~~Signature~~
S. A. Deshpande
Adv.

MAH 1202/1993

to appear, act and plead on my/our behalf in the above matter and to
conduct cases & to authorise another advocate to do the aforesaid acts on
my/our behalf occasionally and in case of urgency and necessary.

In witness where I/We have signed below this day of 20

Signature of Advocate

Filed On 9/6/2026

Client's Signature

Signature

In the Court of C.J.D. at Mangalore

E. 40
Spl. S. No. 4/26

Lahlabegum
vs

machudan
claim: injunction.

May I please your Honour

In the above case plaintiff submits as under-


That, Honble Court is pleased to pass status quo order till today. Both parties are argued on application for T.T. petition. Hence unable to argue on T.T. petition. Therefore order of status quo may kindly be extended till next date.

prayer
It is therefore prayed that, ~~the~~ order of status quo may kindly be extended till next date.

Dated .

4/6/26

Through



Adv. A. S. Srinivasan .



Say
The application is just mooted.
The application is deliberate and
unconnected, further the plaintiff
is trying to bring the matter
either one or other pretext.
Here it may possibly be settled
and matters may go up before
on merit

~~Unhynd~~
S.A. Deshpande
for def

Order

Perused the application and say filed
as application for amendment is to be
decided Hence status quo order
continued till next date.