

MHPA100003782020



ORDER BELOW EXH.19.

By this application respondent No.2 is seeking condonation of delay in filing the written statement.

2. It is submitted that the necessary documents were not provided by the petitioners. Therefore written statement could not be filed within time.

3. The learned advocate for the claimant opposed the application by submitting his say as objected.

4. Heard the learned advocates for the parties. It seems that the necessary documents were not provided by petitioners to respondent No.2, therefore written statement could not be filed within time. So, it seems that, delay is not intentional on the part of respondent No.2. Hence, delay is liable to be condoned. In the result, following order is passed:

ORDER

1. Application is allowed.
2. Delay in filing the written statement is condoned.
3. No order as to costs.

Date :- 06.04.2022.

(L.D.Gaikwad)
MMACT, & Ad hoc Dist. Judge-1,
Gangakhed.