

S.C. No.65/2025

State Vs. Sultan

Exh. No.37

DEPOSITION OF WITNESS NO. 03 FOR THE PROSECUTION

I do hereby on solemn affirmation state that :

My name is : Dr. Sandip Venkatrao Haridas

My age about : 39 yrs

My occupation : Associate Professor, Forensic Medicine
Department, Vilasrao Deshmukh Govt.
Medical College, Latur

My residence : Sai Naka, Latur

District : Latur

**Examination in Chief by Shri. S.N.Chamle Ld. Advocate for
prosecution**

Since January 2024 I am working as Associate Professor, Forensic Medicine Department, Vilasrao Deshmukh Government Medical College, Latur. My academic qualification is M.B.B.S., M.D. (Forensic Medicines). On 10.10.2024 I was on duty. On that day dead body of one Paigambar HajiMalang Sayyed was sent by API R.R.Kare of Gandhi Chowk Police Station, Latur for postmortem. The said body was brought to the Hospital by Police Constable Shri.Y.S.Chincholikar (B.No.1699) of the said police station alongwith inquest panchanama and requisition letter for conducting autopsy. I am now shown

carbon copy of the requisition letter. It bears signature of Casualty Medical Officer of Vilasrao Deshmukh Govt. Medical Hospital. Myself and Dr.S.S.Pol conducted autopsy on the said dead body on the same day between 11.15 a.m. to 12.45 p.m..

2. During autopsy we noted 03 external injuries mentioned in column no.17 of P.M. report 828/2024. The injury no.1 was an incised injury present over left side of neck obliquely placed with inner end upper than lower outer end, spindle shaped, length of the injury was 12 cm and maximum gaping of 5 cm at middle with depth varying from 4 - 5 cm, both angles are acute, both margins are clean cut and infiltrated with blood cutting skin, subcutaneous tissues, muscles, nerves and vessels (clean cut injury to left external jugular vein and left external carotid artery) adherent blood clots seen at places. The injury no.2 was abrasion present over left zygomatic region of size 3 x 2 cm, reddish in colour. The injury no.3 was abrasion present over left side of forehead, 1 cm above eyebrow of size 2 x 1 cm, reddish in colour.

3. In column no.18/A of the P.M. Report we opined that all the above 03 injuries were antemortem. During autopsy we preserved routine viscera for chemical analysis. Nail clippings from both hands preserved for detection of foreign epithelial cells, blood soaked over gauze piece for grouping and matching.

4. As per our opinion the cause of death was hemorrhagic shock due to incise injury over neck. Accordingly we issued provisional cause of death certificate. I am now shown the said provisional certificate. It bears my signature and that of Dr.S.S.Pol. The contents therein are true and correct. It is at **Exh.39**. Viscera and other body samples collected were handed over in sealed condition to the accompanying police constable. We also prepared a detailed postmortem report. I am now shown the same. It bears my signature and that of Dr.S.S.Pol. The contents therein are true and correct. It is at **Exh.40**.

5. On 27.12.2024 we received a letter from API Kare of Gandhi Chowk Police Station regarding weapon examination and answer to the queries raised. Alongwith the letter we received weapon in sealed condition. I am now shown the said letter. It was received by the incharge record clerk. The said letter is at **Exh.41**. On 01.01.2025 we examined the weapon and prepared our report. As per our opinion the injury no.1 mentioned in column 17 of the P.M. Report is possible with the weapon sent or with similar weapon. I am now shown the said report. It bears my signature and that of Dr.S.S.Pol. The contents therein are true and correct. It is at **Exh.42**. Alongwith the said report we handed over the weapon in sealed condition to the police. I am now shown a weapon which is an iron Koyta (Sattur). It is the same which was sent to us for opinion. It bears my signature and that of Dr. Pol. It is at **Article-A**. As per

my opinion death of a person can be caused by such weapon.

Cross examination by Shri. A.J.Tiwari for the accused.

6. It is not true to say that a person cannot speak if he sustains injury no.1 as mentioned in column no.17 of the P.M. report. I cannot predict whether a person will face problem to speak if he sustains the said injury no.1. It is true that the injury no.1 can be self inflicted. The witness says that it is very rare that such injury can be self inflicted because there are no hesitation cuts and the injury is quite deep involving major vessels. It is not true to say that, if the weapon used is sharp, hesitation cuts are not seen on the body. It is true that the injury nos.2 and 3 as mentioned in the P.M. report can be sustained because of fall.

7. I am now shown the Chemical Analysis Report. It is true that as per the said report in the Viscera bottle no.1, 94 miligrams/ 100 grams Ethyl Alcohol was found. In bottle no.2 of Viscera 84 miligrams / 100 grams Ethyl Alcohol was found and in bottle no.3 of Viscera 89 miligrams / 100 milliliters was detected. The C.A. report is collectively marked as **Exh.43**. It is true that on the sealed cover of the weapon received by me for examination of the weapon, the name of accused and the names of panchas were not mentioned. It is true that the injury no.1 as mentioned in column 17 of P.M. report can be caused by any sharp weapon. After examination of the weapon when it was sealed I did not put my signature on the said seal.

Cross-examination is over.

Re-examination - Nil.

R. O. A. C.

Sd/-

Date : 16.03.2026.

(R. B. Bhagwat)
Additional Sessions Judge-2,
Latur

CERTIFICATE

Taken before me and signed by me in presence of the advocate for accused to whom the deposition was explained and opportunity was given to cross-examine the witness. The deposition is recorded only in English as the same is medical evidence.

Sd/-

Date : 16.03.2026.

(R. B. Bhagwat)
Additional Sessions Judge-2,
Latur