

MHOS150008502015

**ORDER BELOW EXH. 43**

Perused the application and say. The application accompanied with affidavit. Heard heard advocates for the both parties.

2. Roznama discloses that till today, in the present material has not yet commenced. It is contended on oath during the pendency of suit, plaintiff no. 2 is died. Her legal heirs have already been on record. Further, from the record it is also seen that the nature of amendment is technical in nature. No prejudice would be caused to other side, if the application is allowed. It would not change the original nature of the suit. The proposed amendment appears to be bona fide. It is necessary in order to settle the controversy between the parties.

Thus, in view of the above, I proceed to pass the following order -

**ORDER**

- 1) Application is allowed.
- 2) The plaintiff is permitted to carryout the necessary amendment on or before next date.

Date :-03/04/2025  
Paranda

Sd/-  
(Mayura V. Nimbalkar)  
3<sup>rd</sup> Jt. C.J.J.D.,Paranda

**CERTIFICATE**

I affirm that the contents of this P.D.F. File Judgment/Order are same, word to word, as per the original Judgment/Order.

Name of the Stenographer	Shri. V.N.Phurade
Name of Court	Smt. Mayura V. Nimbalkar, 3 <sup>rd</sup> Jt. C.J.J.D. and J.M.F.C., Paranda
Date of Dictation	03/04/2025
Judgment signed by the P.O. on	03/04/2025
Judgment/Order uploaded on	03/04/2025