

Deposition of witness No. 1 for State.

Date: 05.12.2025

Cross-examination by Ld. Adv Shri. A.S. Warudkar for the accused:-

4. It is true to say that, for the purpose of cleaning cloths on which pond I proceed on the day of alleged incident, the land of that pond belongs to accused. It is true to say that, prior to alleged incident, I daily proceeded on the aforesaid pond for the purpose of cleaning cloths. As like me, other ladies of our village also proceeded on the aforesaid pond for the purpose of cleaning cloths. Prior to alleged incident, I have no opportunity to see the accused and his father in their land which is adjacent to the aforesaid pond. Prior to alleged incident also I proceed on the aforesaid pond for cleaning cloths at 10.30 a.m.. At the time of alleged incident on the alleged spot of pond, only me and my daughter were present.

5. I proceed on which pond for cleaning cloths having water only in rainy season. It is true to say that, in our village having police-patil. It is true to say that, from our village to reach at Gramin police station Dharashiv through motorcycle require 20 minutes. It did not happened prior to alleged incident i.e. in the month of June, accused one time warning to me not to cleaning cloths due to require said water drinking to animals of accused. It is not true to say that, on the day of alleged incident accused throwing stone in the aforesaid pond hence, on my person having drop of water and at that time, I enquired with accused 'why he was doing the aforesaid act', that time accused stated me 'whether said pond belongs to my father' is stated by me is not true and correct.

6. It is true to say that, generally every lady at the time of cleaning cloths, by properly keeping her sari clean it (पदर खोचून). It is not

true to say that, I depose that, accused pulled of my sari पदर is deposed by me is not true and correct. Prior to alleged incident, between me and accused never at anytime any discussion is going-on. Prior to alleged incident, I never saw the accused on the alleged pond. Prior to alleged incident, I never saw the accused in our village. Witness again depose that, prior to alleged incident, she saw the accused in her village. It is not true to say that, I depose that, accused stated me that महारा मांगाच्या बायांनी येथे यायचे नाही is stated by me is false. It is not true to say that, I depose that, accused by pulling my sari behave with me arrogantly and uttering word is stated by me is not true and correct. It is not true to say that, between me and cousin brother of accused also quarrel was going-on.

7. It is not true to say that, I depose whatever in my examination-in-chief is false. It is not true to say that, I lodged this false complaint against the accused only with intention to harass him. At the time of alleged incident, my daughter Priyanka was college going student at Dharashiv. It is true to say that, the timing of her college at the time of alleged incident was 07.30 a.m. to 12.30 p.m. It is not true to say that, on the day of alleged incident, my daughter Priyanka was not accompanied with me at relevant time.

Cross-examination completed.

No re-examination.

R.O.A.C.

Dt.05.12.2025

(S.G. Thube)
Additional Sessions Judge,
Osmanabad.