

MHOS010006122026 	Order below Exh. 12 (dtd. 09.06.2026) In Sessions Case No.23/2026 (State vs. Aniket)
---	--

The applicant/ accused -Aniket Mahadev Kamble has moved this application (Exh.12) for grant of regular bail under Section 483 of B.N.S.S. in connection with Crime No. 341/2025 for the offences punishable under Section 103(1), 238 of B.N.S., registered with Dhoki Police Station.

2. Prosecution case in nutshell is that, the informant who is the Police Patil of village Dhoki reported that he received telephonic message on 27-11-2025 at approximately 08.54 a.m. that a partially burnt body of a woman in the age group of 18-23 years is found near the railway track. Apart from the burn injuries, injuries over head and on other body parts were observed, hence the F.I.R. came to be lodged against unknown person. Upon thorough investigation, the present applicant/ accused came to be implicated.

3. In the bail application vide Exh. 12 filed on behalf of the present accused it is submitted that, he is law abiding citizen. Applicant/accused submitted that, he works as a driver and is a sole bread earner of his family. He submitted that, he has roots in society, has clean antecedents and undertakes that he will abide by all the conditions. Finally, the applicant/accused prays that he be enlarged on bail.

4. On behalf of the prosecution say is filed vide Exh.13 thereby resisting the bail application on the ground that in the investigation it is transpired that on 26-11-2025 the deceased and the accused met near the school and the deceased went in the Car bearing registration No.MH-14-GU-0649 as per the CCTV footage of the school. So also, there is CCTV footage of Mahalaxmi Energy Services Petrol Pump dated 26-11-2025 of the timing 01.32 p.m. to 01.48 p.m. wherein the accused is seen with the deceased in the said Car. It is submitted that, there are various CCTV footages and the last one being of the toll plaza of Patas and Indapur dated 27-11-2025 at about 09.30 a.m. wherein the accused is seen alone in the said Car. When the said vehicle was seized blood stains were found in the said Car which are sent for chemical analysis. It is further submitted that, the body of the deceased is identified by her relatives and there were illicit relations between the accused and the deceased. Apart from this there is memorandum statement of the accused at whose instance the weapon of the crime is recovered. It is submitted by the prosecution that, as per the tower location of the mobile phone of the accused, he was found in the vicinity of the spot of incidence. Accused has committed brutal murder of the deceased and thereafter also with the intention to disappear the evidence poured explosive over the body of deceased. It is submitted that, if the accused is granted the bail he may tamper the prosecution evidence and give rise to law and order situation. Hence, it is prayed that the bail application be rejected.

5. Heard, the learned advocate for both the sides. Both of them submitted in tune of their respective pleadings.

6. On behalf of the accused in addition to the contents of the bail application it is submitted that, the identity of the deceased is not established and the entire case is based on the circumstantial evidence hence, there is probability that the accused might be acquitted. Hence, it is prayed that the accused be enlarged on the regular bail.

7. Public Prosecutor has submitted that, there is ample of evidence against the accused in the form of electronic evidence which clearly substantiate his involvement in the crime. Considering the gravity of the offence it is prayed that, the bail application be rejected.

8. In order to appraise the submissions advanced before me I have perused the charge-sheet. *Prima-facie* though the case is based on the circumstantial evidence but there is ample evidence which links the accused with the commission of the offence. Deceased is brutally murdered. Considering the gravity of the offence and the fact that the said offence prescribes with the punishment of life imprisonment or capital punishment and as *prima-facie* offence is made out against the accused following order is passed:

:: O R D E R ::

1. Application (Eh.12) stands rejected.
2. Inform about this order to the accused by sending E-mail to the Jail Superintendent.

(Dictated and declared in open Court.)

Dated:- 09.06.2026.

(A. D. Deo)
Sessions Judge, Osmanabad.

CERTIFICATE

I affirm that, the contents of this P.D.F. file Judgment/order are same, word to word, as per the original Judgment/order.

Name of Stenographer	--	A.S.Aghor, Stenographer (Grade-I)
Court	--	District Court, Osmanabad (On Deputation)
Date	--	09.06.2026
Order signed by the Presiding Officer on	--	09.06.2026
Order uploaded on	--	09.06.2026