

Deposition of witness No.3 for State.

I do hereby state on solemn affirmation that :-

My name : Ashish Ramesh Giri
Age about : 36 years.
Occupation : Police-Patil, Wanegaon.
Residence at : Wanegaon, Tq. Tuljapur, Dist. Dharshiv.

Examination-In-Chief by Ld.APP Shri. S.S.Suryawanshi:-

1. I identify accused as well as Khandu Sul. The alleged incident was taken place prior to 02 years at evening time i.e. at 07.00 p.m. At the time of alleged incident, I was proceeded on milk dairy, at that time near slum area (झोपडपट्टी) of our village I heard the voice of accused and Khandu Sul. When I proceed on the alleged spot of incident, at that time, I saw that, wife of accused and his son bringing to accused towards their house. As well as, the mother of Khandu Sul also bring him towards his house, that time, accused and Khandu Sul under the influence of liquor abusing to each other. I saw that Khandu Sul caused injury to his head and on his shirt having blood stains. At that time, I enquired with mother of Khandu Sul and Khandu Sul what had happened, at that time, they replied that, when accused and Khandu Sul at the house of Khandu Sul taking tea that time, accused stated something in respect of the aunt of Khandu Sul. Hence, Khandu Sul stated to accused not to speak about his aunt, thereafter, accused proceeded towards his house and by bringing iron axe and knife assaulted on Khandu Sul.

2. Then the mother of Khandu Sul bring him through private vehicle at hospital for medical treatment. The alleged quarrel settled

by Maruti Devkar, Vaijinath Bachate, Samadhan Gaikwad. In respect of alleged incident police have recorded my statement as per my say. If the accused present before the court then I can identify him. Today accused is present before the court, I identify him.

Chief-examination completed.

Cross-examination by Ld. Adv Shri. P.A. Mane for the accused:-

3. It is true to say that, I had not seen personally how the alleged incident is going-on. It is true to say that, after the alleged incident I gathered information about incident from Khandu Sul and his mother and as per the said information I stated to police. It is true to say that, when I came to know about the alleged incident thereafter in the capacity of police patil, I had not informed it to police as well as, not lodged any complaint. Witness again depose that, in the capacity of police-patil, he deposed alleged incident to police through phone call. It is true to say that, I advised to Khandu Sul and his mother to proceed at police station for lodging complaint. It is true to say that, police have enquired with me in respect of who were present on the alleged spot of incident at the time of incident was going-on. I stated to police at the time of alleged incident on the alleged spot, the person namely Vaijinath Suresh Bachate, Samadhan Gaikwad, Umesh Jadhav, Baba Chand Shaikh were present on the alleged spot. It is true to say that, I bring the above mention persons at police station for giving their statement.

4. It is not true to say that, at concern police station, police have only enquired the name and address of above mention person and without recording their statement sent to them at their village. The statement of above mention are not recorded by police in my presence.

It is true to say that, I given whatever statement to police is given as per the information disclose to me by Khandu Sul and his mother. It is true to say that, I do not know personally in respect of alleged incident and actually what incident had happened.

5. In my statement, it is not mentioned that on the day of alleged incident at 07.00 p.m. I proceeded towards milk dairy of our village. In my statement, it is not mentioned that, when I proceed towards slum area of our village I heard noise of accused and Khandu Sul. In my statement it is not mentioned that, when I reached on alleged spot of incident at that time, wife and son of accused bringing him towards their house and mother of Khandu Sul bring towards his house, at that time they were under the influence of liquor. I cannot assign any reason why above mention contention is not mention in my statement. It is not true to say that, I depose whatever in my examination-in-chief is false. It is true to say that, alleged incident never happened.

Cross-examination completed.

No re-examination.

R.O.A.C.

Dt.13.11.2025

(S.G.Thube)
Addl. Sessions Judge, Osmanabad.

Session Case No.36/2024
State Vs. Sadhu
Exh.No.25

Session Case No.36/2024
State Vs. Sadhu
Exh.No.25