

**Order below Exh.65 in R.C.S.No.162/2023**  
**(Kaushabai Vs. Shankar and Ors.)**

Read the application and say filed on it. Heard the learned counsel for both side. By the application, defendant Nos.1 and 2 sought permission to file written statement. There is short delay of 17 days. Considering the reason stated in the application and for the sake of meritorious decision of the suit for partition, defendant Nos.1 and 2 are allowed to file their written statement by condoning delay subject to cost of Rs.350/- to be paid on or before next date.

**Sd/-xxx**

**Date : 02.04.2024.**

**(M. M. Gadiya )**  
**Civil Judge S.D., Sinnar.**

**Order below Exh.68 in R.C.S.No.162/2023**  
**(Kaushabai Vs. Shankar and Ors.)**

Read the application and say filed on it. Heard the learned counsel for both side. By the application, defendant No.3 sought permission to file written statement. It is stated on oath that, suit came to be transferred from the Nashik court to this court, and that led to delay. The reason casual one, cannot be accepted. However, the learned counsel for the plaintiffs conceded that, the plaintiffs have no objection for allowing the application for the sake of meritorious decision for the suit for partition. The suit is for partition involving valuable rights of the parties, and it needs to be decided on merit. Considering the concession given on behalf of the plaintiffs, and for the sake of meritorious decision of the suit, defendant No.3 is allowed to file written statement by condoning delay subject to cost of Rs.1,500/- to the legal aid to be paid on or before next date.

**Date : 02.04.2024.**

**(M. M. Gadiya )**  
**Civil Judge S.D., Sinnar.**

