

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE, YEOLA,
DIST – NASHIK
(Presided over by Shri. M. I. Lokwani)

Criminal Bail Application No. 47 of 2026

(CNR NO. MHNS270001532026)

Mahesh Bhausahab Kotme, Age-30 yrs,
R/o-Kotamgaon, Tal-Yeola, Dist-Nashik.

Applicant/
Accused

VERSUS

State of Maharashtra,
Through- P.I.City Police Station, Yeola
CR NO. 245 of 2025

Respondent/
State.

Appearance :

Ld. Adv. R. W. Gaikwad, for the Applicant/Accused.

Ld. APP G. R. Borse for the Respondent/State.

ORDER

(Delivered on 17th March, 2026)

1. This application is filed by applicant **Mahesh Bhausahab Kotme** for grant of bail under Section 483 of BNSS in connection with crime No.245 of 2025 registered with respondent/City Police Station, Yeola under Ss.118(1),115(2),352, 351(2), 3(5) of BNS along with Sec.3(1)(r), 3(1)(s), 3(2)(va) of SCST (PA) 1989 Act

Brief facts of the prosecution case is as under :

2. It is alleged by the complainant Savliram Anna Thakare that on 27.07.2025 at about 5.30 p.m., he went to the grocery shop of Vikram Kotme and sat on the chair. On that occasion applicant Mahesh Bhausahab Kotme and another

Shravan Bhimraj Kotme came there. Informant left the chair and meanwhile applicant Mahesh Kotme sat on that chair. When informant told him that earlier he sat on the chair at that time applicant Mahesh Kotme refused to leave the chair and abused the informant. On that occasion Shravan Kotme said that not to dare to mess with the Mahesh Kotme therefore, informant return back to house. After 15 to 20 minutes applicant Mahesh Kotme came in front of his house and started to abuse him and uttered abusive words. Applicant Mahesh Kotme was carrying steel rod in his hand. He abused and insulted him by uttering abusive words and also assaulted him on his hand, back by steel rod. He also assaulted to the wife of informant Aruna Thakare and to the sister of informant namely Sunita Tejas Tambe. Mahesh Kotme assaulted to Sunita Tambe while he knows she was pregnant. He also assaulted her by steel rod. In the incident Ganesh Thakare, Mamta Thakare, Tejas Tambe came and separated the quarrel. Later on, the complainant went to the shop of Vikram Kotme on that occasion the co-accused Bhausahab Kotme was there and he asked to the informant as to what happened? When informant stated the above incident, at that time co-accused Bhausahab Raghunath Kotme also abused the informant in filthy language and gave threat. Hence, applicant approached to Yeola City Police Station and lodged the report. On that basis, crime No.245 of 2025 registered against the applicant and another.

3. Learned advocate of applicant submitted that, applicant is innocent and has not committed the alleged offence. The allegations made in the FIR are false and baseless. There is no criminal antecedents against the applicant. Applicant is in jail from the date of arrest. Applicant ready to co-operate IO in all respect. Applicant is ready to abide any condition imposed upon him by the court. Hence, prayed for release the applicant on bail.

4. On the other hand, learned APP filed reply at Exh.7 and submitted that applicant assaulted the informant by fists and kick blows also by steel rod. If applicant released on bail, then there is possibility to pressurize the complainant and witnesses. There is also possibility to interfere in investigation. Hence, prayed to reject the application.

5. Considering the submission of both sides and gone through the record, it appears that from the contents of FIR that the role attributed to the applicant Mahesh Kotme to utter specific abusive words against the informant. Record shows applicant arrested on 26-02-2026 and on the same day remanded in MCR. This itself shows IO not needed further custody of the applicant. It appears that offence committed on 27-7-2025 and till date practically the investigation is almost over. Earlier application No.228 of 2025 rejected on the basis of non-completion of investigation. But it appears that almost investigation is over. Hence, to keep the applicant in jail for

indefinite period or further custody will not serve any purpose. Therefore it will be proper to enlarge the applicant on bail. There is apprehension in the mind of learned APP that if applicant released on bail he will commit similar kind of offence or he will abscond or there is also possibility to pressurize the informant and witnesses. But the said care can be taken by imposing certain condition. Considering the above discussion and reasons, I proceed to pass following Order:

ORDER

- 1 Criminal Bail application No. **47/2026** filed by **Mahesh Bhausahab Kotme** for bail in connection with crime No.245 of 2025 registered with respondent/City Police Station under Ss.118(1),115(2),352, 351(2), 3(5) of BNS along with Sec.3(1)(r), 3(1)(s), 3(2)(va) of SCST (PA) 1989 Act is **allowed**.
- 2 Applicant **Mahesh Bhausahab Kotme** be released on bail on execution of personal bond Rs. 50,000/- with surety in like amount.
3. Applicants shall attend Yeola City Police Station on every Monday from 10:00 am to 11:00 am till filing of charge-sheet and shall co-operate in investigation.
- 4 Applicant shall furnish his permanent address and provide mobile number to the I.O.
- 5 Copy of this order be sent to the Superintendent, Central Jail, Nashik-Road, Nashik by e-mail for necessary information.

- 6 If any of the above condition violates by the applicant, prosecution may seeks for cancellation of bail.
- 7 In the above terms, Criminal Bail Application No.47/2026 stands disposed off accordingly.

(Dictated and pronounced in open Court.)

Place : Yeola, (Nashik)
Date : 17-03-2026

(M. I. Lokwani)
Additional Sessions Judge, Yeola.