

ORDER BELOW EXH. 01 IN R.D. NO. 68/2005

(CNR NO. MHNS-2000-1717-2005)

Perused record. It appears that, decree for specific performance of contract is passed in favour of the original decree holder namely Kashinath Ramaji Aadhav. However, during pendency of the present execution petition the original decree holder and judgment debtor were dead. Therefore, their legal representatives are brought on record. The present decree consist of execution of sale deed of the decretal property in favour of decree holder after obtaining necessary permission. Heard Ld. advocate for the decree holder. He submitted that necessary permission is obtained to execute sale deed in favour of decree holder and there is no appeal pending with respect to present decree.

2. Perusal of the record it appears that necessary permission from additional collector is obtained for execution of sale deed in favour of original decree holder. However, before execution of sale deed it is necessary to send draft sale deed to judgment debtors vide Order XXI Rule 34 of the Code of Civil Procedure requiring them to file any objection on 27.02.2026. Therefore, it is directed to served a copy of draft sale deed together with a notice requiring their objections (if any) to be made on 27.02.2026 vide Order XXI Rule 34 of the Code of Civil Procedure.

Date:- 13/01/2026.

(R. R. Jadhav)

2nd Jt. Civil Judge J.D.,Sinnar.