

**Regular Civil Suit No.83 of 2026**  
**Ananda Kshirsagar Vs. Subhadrabai Kshirsagar**

**Order below Exh.1**

Perused the compromise pursis in the present suit as well as 7/12 extracts of suit property. Compromise was duly recorded before National Lok Adalat, parties duly identified by their respective Advocates. Contents of Compromise Memo were admitted to be true and correct by the parties to the compromise only. The present suit is for declaration of ownership and perpetual injunction. Needless to state that, when the compromise is to be recorded, court is expected to look in to the legality and aspects of the compromise, whether it is lawful or not. From the 7/12 extracts except to the parties to the compromise, names of the other co-sharers were also appearing over the 7/12 extracts. Their share was also shown by Anewari. In the same Gat number. The present compromise, parties were claiming possession over the specific portion of the suit property by mentioning the boundaries for which consent of other co-sharers becomes necessary, as there is no evidence of actual division of property and seperate possession of specific portion of property within the suit property. However, the parties to the compromise were claiming their share to the extent to the Anewari show in 7/12 extract. Therefore, compromise is read and recorded to the extent of their division in Anewari only in respective Gat numbers only. This compromise is not affect the aspect of specific possession. Hence, in the light of above observation award be drawn of accordingly. This order is treated as part and parcel of award.

**( S. H. Gaherwar )**

Place :- Niphad  
Date :- 14/03/2026

Panel Head, Panel No.3,  
Lok Adalat.