

New Spl.C.S. No. 36/2025
Old Spl.C.S. No. 880/2020
Shashikala Vs. Surekha

ORDER BELOW EXH. 26
(Passed on 30.09.2025)

The instant application is filed by the plaintiff for permission to file documents on record.

2. It is contended by the plaintiff that, he wants to file certain documents on record which include the map of bandobast P.H. No.59, map of aggregation of P.H. No. 59, and other documents of the suit land. Hence, it is urged to allow the application.

3. The defendant by filing the say opposed the application with the contention that the plaintiff has to show the relevancy of documents filed herewith. The plaintiff tried to mislead the court, Hence, the application is liable to be rejected with cost.

3. Heard both sides at length. Perused the record. Admittedly, the plaintiff wants to file the documents at the stage of evidence. However, it appears that the documents which the plaintiff wants to file on record are connected with the matter. The said documents includes the copy of map bandobast P.H. No.59, map of aggregation of P.H. No. 59 and other related documents. The said documents are necessary for the final adjudication of the matter and therefore, the permission to file the same on record needs to be granted. No prejudice would cause to the other side as the defendants have every opportunity to cross-examine the

plaintiff with respect to the said documents. Therefore, for proper adjudication of the matter production of document is necessary. Hence, I pass the following order:

ORDER

1. The application stands allowed.
2. The plaintiffs are permitted to file documents on record.

Date : 30.09.2025

(M.Z.A.A.Q. Quraishi)
Civil Judge Senior Division,
Katol

CERTIFICATE

I affirm that the contents of this P.D.F. file of Judgment/order are word to word, as per original judgment/order.

Name of Stenographer : **P. T. Mandale (Steno-Gr. II)**
to, Civil Judge (Sr.Dn.),Katol.