

Spl. C. S. No.36/2025

Shashikala
Vs.
Surekha

ORDER BELOW EXH.24
(Passed on 09.09.2025)

The instant application is filed by the plaintiffs for permission to file documents on record.

2. It is contended by the plaintiffs that, he wants to file original documents on record which are necessary for the adjudication of the matter. The said documents are material in respect of the contention of the plaintiffs. Hence, it is urged to allow the application.

3. The defendants by filing say strongly opposed the application. It is contended that the plaintiff has to satisfy relevancy of the documents as the said documents are devoid of the issue in controversy and subject matter of the suit. Hence, it is urged to reject the application.

4. Heard both sides at length. Perused the record. Admittedly, the plaintiff wants to file the documents at the stage of evidence. However, it appears that the documents which the plaintiff wants to file on record are directly connected with the matter and therefore, the permission to file the same on record needs to be granted. No prejudice would cause to the other side as the defendants have every opportunity to cross-examine the plaintiff with respect to the said documents. Therefore, for proper

adjudication of the matter production of document is necessary.
Hence, I pass the following order:

ORDER

1. The application stands allowed.
2. The plaintiffs are permitted to file documents on record.

Katol
Dt. 08.09.2025

(M.Z.A.A.Q. Quraishi)
Civil Judge Senior Division,
Katol.

CERTIFICATE

I affirm that the contents of this pdf file of Judgment are word to word, as per original judgment.

Name of Stenographer : **R. W. Vindane, (Stenographer Gr.II)**
attached to Civil Judge (Sr.Dn.), Katol.