

MHNG170001912026



**Cri.M.A.No.13/2026**  
Waman Ramdas Gedam  
V/s  
State Excise Department, Umred  
**Order below Exh.1**  
**( Passed on 23<sup>rd</sup> March, 2026)**

The applicant **Waman Ramdas Gedam** has filed this application under section 503 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Surksha Sanhita, (in short, “BNSS”) to release the seized vehicle on supratnama.

2] The applicant submitted that, Car Dzire VXI bearing registration no. **MH-40-CA-3118** Chassis No. **MA3CZFB3SLK720991** and Engine No. **K12NN9058143** [hereinafter “said vehicle”] is seized by State Excise Department F3, Umred in a crime no.11/2026 for the offence punishable under Sections 65(a)(e), 81, 83 of Maharashtra Prohibition Act. He further submitted that, he is registered owner of the above said vehicle. Furthermore, he undertakes to produce the said vehicle before the Court as and when required. Lastly, he prayed to release the said vehicle on execution of indemnity bond.

3] Say of I.O. and learned A.P.P. was called. The investigation officer has submitted that if the said vehicle released on supratnama applicant may again use in similar type of offence. Hence he prayed for reject the application.

4] The learned A.P.P. objected the application on the ground that, the offence is serious in nature, investigation yet to complete so applicant may not produce the same as when required and he may aligne or create third party interest. Hence, he prayed for reject the application.

5] Perused the application and documents, it appears that, the applicant has produced on record the verified copy of RC book, Aadhar card and copy of F.I.R. list of document at Exh.3. I perused those documents. It shows that, the applicant is the registered owner of the seized vehicle. Therefore, he is entitled to receive the custody of seized vehicle.

6] As considering the say of I.O. and learned A.P.P., it appears that, the said vehicle has been seized by State Excise Department F3, Umred in a crime no.11/2026 for the offence punishable under Sections 65(a)(e), 81, 83 of Maharashtra Prohibition Act. Therefore, if the seized vehicle kept in police station in the same condition, then there is every possibility that its utility value may become less.

7] In such circumstances, no purpose would be served by detaining the said vehicle. Hence, in my opinion, the present applicant is entitled for interim custody of said vehicle. Hence, I pass the following order.

### ORDER

1. The application is allowed.
2. The custody of seized vehicle bearing registration no. **MH-40-CA-3118** Chassis No. **MA3CZFB3SLK720991** and Engine No. **K12NN9058143** by Excise Department F3, Umred in a crime no. 11/2026 be handed over to the applicant **Waman Ramdas Gedam** on executing an indemnity bond of Rs. 10,00,000/- (Rs. Ten Lakh only) on the following terms and conditions :-

- i) That the said vehicle should be kept as it is and its nature should not be changed in any way.
  - ii) That the same should not be sold or alienated in any other way till the decision of the trial in this crime.
  - iii) That the same shall be produced as and when directed by this Court during the trial in this crime.
  - iv) That the applicant shall provide the necessary documents in respect of the said seized vehicle to the investigation officer.
  - v) The Investigation Officer to take photographs of the said vehicle at the costs of the applicant and get them attested by taking signatures of the applicant thereon.
  - vii) Investigation Officer to prepare detailed panchnama of present condition of vehicle.
  - viii) The said vehicle should not be used in any other crime.
3. The State Excise Department F3, Umred is directed to produce photographs, panchanama and copy of this order along with charge-sheet, if filed.

Issue letter accordingly.

(S. J. Lad)  
Judicial Magistrate First Class,  
Bhiwapur.

Dated:- 23.03.2026.