


## ORDER BELOW EXHIBIT NO.7

- 1] The plaintiff has approached this Court seeking an urgent injunction to maintain the existing state of affairs concerning the suit property, which is described as a sanctioned road allegedly being encroached upon by the defendant.
- 2] The very foundation of the plaintiff's plea rests on the assertion that this road is the sole access to their property and that the defendant's ongoing and illegal construction thereon will cause grave and irreparable prejudice if not immediately halted.
- 3] The claim involves a sanctioned road and an alleged encroachment, which are contentious issues of fact requiring evidence and proper demarcation. Merely asserting an encroachment, even on a sanctioned road, without substantial, immediate, and unimpeachable documentary or other evidence establishing the precise extent of the plaintiff's right and the clear violation thereof, does not automatically warrant the extraordinary relief of *status quo* at the threshold.
- 4] Therefore, considering the present stage of the suit and the need for a more detailed examination of the rival claims regarding the boundary and legality of the construction, I am not satisfied that the plaintiff has established the immediacy and urgency required to grant the extreme and blanket relief of *status quo*. The plaintiff is at liberty to pursue the relief of temporary injunction by way of a more detailed and specific application, where the Court can consider arguments for a targeted prohibitory order after notice to the defendant. Hence I pass the following order :

2

ORDER

The application for status quo stands rejected.



[ V. I. Shaikh ]

Jt. Civil Judge, J.D., Hingna.

Date : 16.10.2025