


beyond the control of the advocate. If the matter before the High Court would be called out soon, the advocate will reach to Narkhed Court hence this application

Prayer

It is therefore prayer that in the circumstance the matter may kindly be postponed to the next suitable date namely any Thursday in the interest of justice.

Place Narkhed

Date 28/07/2025



Advocate for the plaintiff

(Rajesh A. Bagde)

ORDER BELOW EXH. 45 IN R.C.S. No 69/2015

Neither the plaintiff nor her Ld. Advocate is present before the court. This application is filed by one Himmatrao Athavale who claims to be the husband of the plaintiff. The practice of party as well as the Ld. Advocate not being present is deprecated. There is no signature of the plaintiff or Attorney Aolder of the plaintiff on the application and the signatory is absent. Moreover it is mentioned that the matter is fixed to be heard every Thursday, no such order is passed by my Ld. predecessors or me. The last date was 26/06/2025 which was a Tuesday and Ld. Advocates were absent. It seems the applicant has taken court for granted. The reason mentioned in the application is not tenable as per Order XVII, Rule 1(c) of the Code of Civil Procedure, and also per Paragraph No. 658 and 659 of the Civil Manual, 1986. Hence, the application is rejected.

R.V. Thakur
28/07/2025
C.J.J.D., Narkhed