

Summary Criminal Case No.348/2026
State/ Gajanan Pokle
Exhibit No.03

**In the court of Rohan Vijaykumar Thayil, Judicial Magistrate, First Class,
Narkhed, District, Nagpur.**

1. Date time & place of commission : 05/02/2026
of offence
2. Date of report of offence : 05/02/2026
3. Name, age and residence of complainant : State of Maharashtra,
Through PS. Narkhed
4. Name, age and residence of accused : Gajanan Mahadeo Pokle,
Age - 66 years, Occ.-Labour,
R/o. Ward No. 08, Narkhed,
Tah. Narkhed, Dist. Nagpur
5. Particulars of offence :

That on the aforesaid date in between 17:45 to 18:15 hours at Mouza – Near Railway Station, Tal. Narkhed, Dist. Nagpur you accused were found drinking liquor at the public place without permit and thereby committed an offence punishable under **Section 84 of the Maharashtra Prohibition Act** and within the cognizance of this Court.

Narkhed.
Date:- 27.04.2026

(**Rohan Vijaykumar Thayil**)
Judicial Magistrate, First Class,
Narkhed, Dist.Nagpur

Plea of accused:-

Q.No.1:- Have you received copies of police challan and other papers ?

Ans:- Yes.

Q.No.2:- Have you understood the particulars of offence read over and explained to you in vernacular ?

Ans:- Yes.

Q.No.3:- Do you plead guilty ?

Ans:- Yes, I do plead guilty.

Signature of accused.

Narkhed.

Date:-27.04.2026.

(**Rohan Vijaykumar Thayil**)
Judicial Magistrate, First Class,
Narkhed, Dist.Nagpur

ORDER

Accused pleaded guilty voluntarily. The particulars of offence punishable under Section 84 of the Maharashtra Prohibition Act alleged to have been committed by accused was read over and explained to accused, upon which the accused admitted his guilt that he drunk at the public place without permit. So also, the extent of punishment prescribed for the aforesaid offence is made clear to accused, upon which he submitted that he is ready to undergo the punishment imposed by this Court. I considered his submissions and found his plea volunteer. Hence considering the nature of offence, I accept it and hold accused guilty for offence under Section 84 of the Maharashtra Prohibition Act. Accused prayed for leniency. He submitted that he is poor, therefore lenient view may kindly be taken. Perused record. There are no antecedents of accused showing his involvement in any other crime. Hence, considering the nature of offence and submissions of accused, lenient view is required to be taken. In view of the discussion noted above, I proceed to pass following order-

ORDER

1. Accused is hereby convicted for the offence punishable under Section 84 of the Maharashtra Prohibition Act vide section 278 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita,

2023 and he is sentenced to pay fine of Rs. 200/- (Rupees Two Hundred only), in default to suffer simple imprisonment for 2 days.

2. Bail bonds, if any, stands cancelled.
3. Matter stands disposed of.
4. Seized Muddemal, if any, be disposed as per rules.

Narkhed.
Date:- 27.04.2026

(**Rohan Vijaykumar Thayil**)
Judicial Magistrate, First Class,
Narkhed, Dist.Nagpur

Argument heard on	-
Order passed on	27.04.2026
Transcription ready on	27.04.2026
Order checked and singed on	27.04.2026

CERTIFICATE

I affirm that the contents of this P.D.F. file of final order are word to word, as per original final order.

Name of Stenographer - V. A. Chavhan (Gr.-III)