

**Spl. Civil Suit No. 42/2019**  
**Sunil Vs. Chetan**

**ORDER BELOW EXH. 82**  
( Passed on this 18<sup>th</sup> of October 2025)

Read the application, say and record. Heard. Defendant Nos. 6-A and 6-C have filed this application on 19-08-2025 seeking permission to file W.S.. Plaintiff has opposed the application submitting that these defendants have been added on 16-11-2024 as LR.s. of late defendant No. 6. Thus, delay is not explained. Late defendant No. 6 did not file W.S. Therefore, his LR.s. cannot file W.S. Question is whether W.S. can be taken on record. It appears that these defendants are brought on record as LR.s. of late defendant No. 6 on 16-11-2024. Copy of amended plaint is filed on 7-1-2025. Copy of it has been received by these defendants on 5-7-2025. It is submitted that W.S. was ready on date 19-07-2025, but P.O. was on leave and as such it could not be placed on record. Immediately on the next date, this application has been filed. Proposed W.S. is annexed to this application. It carries the date 19-07-2025. Affidavit carries the date 17-07-2025. Thus, in view of this, I hold that delay is explained. Suit is for specific performance of contract There are 16 defendants. It is proved that amended plaint was filed on 7-1-2025. Considering this, it appears that there is delay on the part of these defendants. Some cost needs to be imposed. Therefore, in the above background, I pass following order.

**ORDER**

Application is allowed subject to cost of Rs. 500/-.

Umred.  
Dated: 18/10/2025.

[ S. G. Landge ]  
Civil Judge Sr. Dn., Umred,  
Dist. Nagpur.

Certificate

I affirm that the contents of this P.D.F. file are word to word same as per original.

Name of Stenographer : Mrs. D.T. Vighne  
Stenographer (Grade II)