

Cross-examination resumed on oath.

Cross-examination by Adv. L.P.Gaikwad on behalf of
defendant no.1.

[02] Deceased Jagdish Narnavare was the son of my sister in law. It is true to say that for service purpose Jagdish was residing out of Nagpur. The native of Jagdish is Nagpur. The ancestral house property of Jagdish is at Nagpur. It is true to say that at present plaintiff Leelabai and her sons are residing at ancestral house property of Jagdish. It is not true to say that Leelabai does the job. Jagdish was in service at P.W.D. I do not know as to how many days Jagdish was in service at Bhiwapur. I had been to meet Jagdish I do not know exactly as to when I went to meet him. I do not know as to how many days Jagdish resides at Bhiwapur. I do not know during which period he was ill. I do not know at which hospital Jagdish was receiving the treatment. Bhiwapur is the big place. I do not know at which nagar Jagdish was residing. I do not know anything about the ownership documents of the house at which Jagdish was residing. I do not know whether said house is constructed on government land or private land. It is not true to say that I never had been to meet Jagdish Narnaware. It is not true to say that the plaintiffs never resides at Bhiwapur with Jagdish Narnaware. It is true to say that the relations of plaintiffs with Jagdish was not co-ordial. It is not true to say that as per say of plaintiffs

today I am deposing false.

Cross-examination by defendant no.2 to 4

[03] Defendant no.2 to 4 are absent, their counsel gave no instruction pursis hence cross-examination deferred till next date.

ROAC

Before me

[S. K. Fokmare]
C.J.S.D., Umrer.
Dated : 19/11/2018.

[S.K. Fokmare]
C.J.S.D., Umrer.

DISTRICT COURT
NAGPUR