

Witness no.1 – Surekha Diwakar Malve

Examination-in chief on oath of P.W.1 by Adv. Shri. Khanzode for the petitioner.

[01] I do hereby state on solemn affirmation that my counsel has drafted my affidavit of examination-in-chief as per my instructions. Its contents are read over and explained to me in vernacular. The affidavit Exh.16 bears my signature and its contents are true and correct.

[02] Notice under Section 5-A of L.A. Act is now shown to me. It is correct. It is marked with Exh. No. 22. 7/12 extract of my land is now shown to me. It is correct. It is marked with Exh. No. 23. Certified copy of sale-deed dated 25-08-2005 is now shown to me. It is correct. It is marked with Exh. No. 24. Copy of notice under Section 12(2) of L.A. Act is now shown to me. It is marked with Exh. No. 25.

Cross-examination for respondants – Absent. This evidence is recorded at 4.30 pm. Therefore adjourned for cross-examination.

ROAC

Before me

[ S. G. Landge ]  
C.J.S.D., Umred.  
Dated : 02.01.2025

[ S. G. Landge ]  
C.J.S.D., Umred.

Cross examination of witness No. 1 Smt. Surekha Diwakar Malve by Id. Advocate Shri Wandile for respondent No. 3 resumed on S.A.

[3] I used to cultivate the acquired land. It was acquired for Thana Talav. It is true that there was no facility of irrigation in the vicinity, therefore State undertook the project of Thana Talav and acquired the

land for that purpose. Adjoining lands were also acquired. Joint measurement of all the acquired lands was carried out. I received notices. I submitted copy of sale-deed before LAO requesting to grant compensation as per that sale-deed. LAO gave acknowledgment receipt to that effect. Copy of such receipt is not on record. It is not true to say that LAO had granted proper compensation therefore, I did not submit any sale-deed there and as such I have not filed any receipt on record. It is true that I have not annexed any document to my application for reference before LAO. It is true that I have not filed on record any statement or document to show as to which crop I used to take from the acquired land and as to how much income I used to earn out of the acquired land. It is true that my land admeasuring 2.70 HR was jirayat land/non irrigated land. 10 R area was shown as fallow land. It is true that I used to take only Kharip crop. It is true that I have not filed any map to show the distance between acquired land and the land of above sale-deed. I do not know the terms and conditions of the above sale deed. I was not the party and witness to the above sale-deed. Not true that sale-deed is not applicable. Not true that LAO had considered the sale instances and had granted the just and proper compensation. Not true that I have filed false application with a view to get more amount. Not true that today I am deposing false.

Cross examination for respondent Nos. 1 and 2 by Adv. Shri Gaulkar-  
Absent- Hence no cross-examination

**Cross-examination is over.**

L.A.R.No. 39/2014  
Surekha Vs. State & ors.

2

Exh.16

**Re-Examination-Nil**

ROAC

Before me

[ S. G. Landge ]  
C.J.S.D., Umred.  
Dated : 17.04.2025

[ S. G. Landge ]  
C.J.S.D., Umred.