

L.A.R.No.111/2003
Shripat (Dead). .Vs. State & ors.

Exh.19

L.A.R.No.111/2003
Shripat (Dead) thr. LR's .Vs. State &
ors.

Exh.19

Witness no.1. Prakash Shripat Kamble

Cross-examination by Id. Adv. Shri. Wandile on behalf of
respondent no. 3 resumed on oath.

[03] Other petitioners are my brothers and sisters. My father was looking after the acquired field at the time of its acquisition. I was knowing about all the transactions. It is true to say that adjoining lands were also acquired and measurement was done at that time. It is true to say that there was no irrigation facility in the above village and therefore, the project was implemented to provide irrigation facility to the concerned field owners. It is true to say that LAO had carried out joint measurement at that time and we had received all notices. We had not filed any document, sale deed, etc. before the LAO to show the market value of the acquired property after receipt of notice u/s. 9 of L.A. Act. It is true to say that my father was present at the time of Award. We had not filed any document showing the market value of acquired property at the time of filing of Reference. I have not filed any document to show how much tax / cess levied on acquired field was paid by me. I have read the Award and other documents filed by me. I cannot tell the land revenue class/group in which the acquired field was placed. I have read the report of Horticulture Officer in respect of acquired field. It is true to say that 7/12 extract (Exh. 29) mentions that some land was not suitable for cultivation and it was class-II land. It is true to say that 7/12 extract (Exh.

29) does not mention about existence of trees in the acquired field. It is true to say that we took Kharip crops in the year 1982-83. I have not filed statement, etc. to show nature of crop taken from the acquired field and sale of said crop and income earned from said crop. I have not filed any document to show which fertilizer, etc. was used by us in the acquired field. It is not true to say that there were no trees in the acquired field as mentioned in the Reference. It is not true to say that LAO has granted adequate and sufficient compensation as per prevailing rate and I have filed this false Reference out of greed to seek more amount. It is not true to say that I have filed false Reference and am deposing falsely.

Cross-examination for respondents No. 1 and 2 – No one is present till 1.20 pm. No steps. Matter is very old. Hence, matter to proceed without cross-examination on behalf of above respondents. Hence, cross-examination is Nil.

Re-examination- Nil.

ROAC

Before me

Sd/-

[A. V. Mishra]

C.J.S.D., Umred.

Dated : 16/03/2023

Sd/-

[A. V. Mishra]

C.J.S.D., Umred.