

Spl. Civil Suit No.15/2019
Shrikshetra Ambhora vs.Prahar Marathi & Ors.

ORDER BELOW EXH.30

Read the application, say and record. Heard Ld. Advocate Shri. Baviskar for defendant No. 3. Plaintiff is present but his Ld. Advocate is absent at 3.30 p.m. Evidence affidavit of P.W. No. 1 Keshavrao Exh. 18 shows that defendants and their Ld. Advocates were absent on 05-03-2024 for cross-examination. Therefore, no cross order was passed. This application has been filed by defendant No. 3 to set aside that order on the ground that defendant No. 3 is responsible Politician and was busy in social meetings, therefore, he and his Ld. Advocate could not remain present on that day. Plaintiff has opposed the application submitting say that no sufficient cause is shown for their absence. Suit is for recovery of damages of Rs. 30,00,000/-. Considering the nature of the suit, I think that, opportunity can be given to defendant No. 3 to cross-examine the witness. Therefore, I pass following order.

ORDER

Application is allowed.

Dated: 17/12/2024.
Umred.

[S. G. Landge]
Civil Judge Sr. Dn., Umred,
Dist. Nagpur.

Certificate

I affirm that the contents of this P.D.F. file are word to word same as per original.

Name of Stenographer : Mrs. Deepali T. Vighne,
Stenographer (Grade II)