

MHNG100004012026



ORDER BELOW EXH.01 IN CRI.M.A.29 OF 2026

The present application filed by the applicant U/s.503 of B.N.S.S. for the interim custody of seized amount.

2. The applicant namely Ranjita Suresh Badipahadi is claiming interim custody of Cash amount of Rs.70,000/- (Rupees Seventy Thousand only) seized by police in **Crime No.528/2025** for the offence punishable u/s. 316(2), 316(5), 318(4) r/w.3(5). It is further contended that, said amount is lying in the police station. The applicant is ready and willing to abide each and every condition which are imposed upon him and prayed for the seized amount.

3. Say of investigation officer and APP was came to be called. The learned A. P. P. filed say and contended that, the said cash amount of Rs.70,000/- is seized from the accused. That, subject to impose terms and conditions Court may pass appropriate order in the interest of justice. I. O. filed say and contended that the amount of Rs.70,000/- seized from accused has been laying in the police station. Thereafter, this Court has called the say of accused wherein, do not having any objection

to release the amount subject to imposition of condition on the part of applicatn.

4. Perused the application, say filed by investigation officer and perused the documents on record. Heard, learned advocate of the applicant and A. P. P. at length. The applicant along with the application filed verified copies of her Adhar Card and Bank passbook.

5. The applicant sought to released the seized cash amount of Rs.70,000/-(Rupees Seventy Thousand Only).

6. On the other hand, say of the accused was called he did not raised objection to handover the said amount to the applicant on Supurtnama. I also relied on the judgment of Hon'ble Apex Court, in *Sundarbhai Ambalal Desai Vs. State of Gujarat reported in AIR 2003 SCC 638*, in which Hon'ble Court has given broad guidelines. I have gone through guidelines of Hon'ble Court, and came into conclusion that as the applicant *prima-facie* shown her ownership over the said cash amount as the accused having no objection thereon. Moreover, the accused having no objection to hand over the cash amount towards applicant. Hence, applicant is entitled for the interim custody of the same. In view of the guidelines of the Hon'ble Court I proceed to pass following order.

ORDER

1. Application is allowed.
2. Muddemal property Cash amount of Rs.70,000/- (Rupees Seventy Thousand only) seized by police in **Crime No. 528/2025** for the offence punishable u/s.316(2), 316(5), 318(4) r/w. 3(5) Police Station Bela be released in favor of the applicant namely **Ranjita Suresh Badipahadi** on executing Suprutnama Bond of Rs.70,000/-(Rupees Seventy Thousand Only) subject to following conditions :-
3. The Bela Police Station is directed to take separate photographs while handing over the amount to the applicant at the cost of applicant and obtained signature of two punch witnesses and applicant thereon.
4. The concerned Police Station is also directed to prepare detail Panchnama of handing over said cash amount to the applicant.
5. Applicant is directed that she shall be bound to produce the amount of Rs.70,000/-(Rupees Seventy Thousand only) when called by this Court.
6. The concerned Police Station is directed to filed compliance report.

Date :- 24.03.2026

Sd/-
(R. S. Mankar)
Judicial Magistrate, F.C.,
Umred.

CERTIFICATE

I affirm that the contents of this P.D.F file Judgment/ Order are same word to word as per the original order.

Name of Court	:-	Jt. Civil Judge (Jr.Dn.) and J.M.F.C., Umred, Dist. Nagpur.
Presiding Officer	:-	R. S. Mankar
Judgment uploaded by	:-	S.B.Ghardinkar(Stenographer L.G.)
Date of Judgment/Order	:-	24.03.2026
Judgment/Order signed by the presiding officer on	:-	24.03.2026