

Resumed

Further Examination in Chief of the plaintiff witness No.3 Dilip Gunwantrao Kedar by the learned Advocate Shri. S.M. Pand appearing for the plaintiff :

5. I have filed my affidavit of examination-in-chief. The contents of my affidavit are read over and explained to me in vernacular, contents therein are true and correct. It bears my signature.

6. Now the document power of attorney shown to me, it bears my signature as scribe. The contents of the document is drafted by me as per the executor. Contents therein are true as per their say. There is also bear the signature of the plaintiff Kashiram Ramlal Parteki as a consenting party. It is already marked as Exh. 33.

Examination in chief is completed.

Cross examination by the learned Advocate Shri. M. C. Khangare appearing for the defendant :

7. My bar counsel registration No. is 462/1989 dated 09.03.1989. It is not true to say that, prior to execution of the document, I was not known to the parties to the document. It is not necessary to mention the identification mark of the person who is putting his thumb impression on the document. It is true to say that, at the time of execution of the document of power of attorney, the plaintiff was not the owner of the suit property. It is true to say that, the plaintiff was also shown as a witness to the document as well as consenting party. But, later on being witness was strucked down. It is true to say that, there is no my signature where the portion was strucked down. Witness voluntarily state that, Hansaraj and Kashiram were signed there.

8. It is true to say that, the Narayan Bhaiyyalal Jawarkar who shown as a witness is a typist in the Court. It is not true to say that, in collusion with the plaintiff I have prepared false document Exh.33. It is not true to say that, Exh.33 is not bear the signature and thumb impression of defendants and late Amarsingh. It is not true to say that, I was not appeared before the District Collector of Nagpur in the proceeding between the plaintiff and the defendant. It is true to say that, I had not filed the Vakalatnama on behalf of the parties. It is not true to say that, I have filed the false affidavit on the say of the plaintiff and deposing false against the defendant.

Cross examination is completed.

Re-examination : Nil

R. O. A. C.
Before me,

Place : Saoner.

Date : 22.04.2024

(S. A. Sardar)
Civil Judge Senior Division, Saoner
Tahsil Saoner, District Nagpur

4. I have filed my affidavit of examination-in-chief. The contents of my affidavit are read over and explained to me in vernacular, contents therein are true and correct. It bears my signature.

5. I have filed our marriage invitation Card, which is already marked at Exh. . I have filed my Aadhar Card. Contents therein are true and correct. It is marked as Exh.

Examination in chief is completed.

R. O. A. C.
Before me,

Place : Saoner.
Date : 01.04.2024

(S. A. Sardar)
Civil Judge Senior Division, Saoner
Tahsil Saoner, District Nagpur

CERTIFICATE

I affirm that the contents of this PDF file are word to word as per original file.

Mrs. A. J. Chichate

Stenographer(Grade-II)