

R.C.S.No.138/2012.
Rambhau -Vs.-Bala Kedar.

- : ORDER BELOW EXHIBIT NO.85 :-
{Passed on this 5th day of December, 2014}

Perused the application and record. The defendants remained absent to contest this application. Heard learned counsel for the plaintiff. Having considered his submissions and the available record, at the outset, it seems that the proposed amendment is nothing but the clarification of earlier pleadings of the plaintiff. As such, it is formal in nature and would not change the basic structure of this suit. Simultaneously, it would not cause any prejudice even to the adversaries, as they would get an equal opportunity to meet the proposed amendment on trial. In the result, the application is allowed and the plaintiff is called upon to incorporate the proposed amendment in the body of his plaint positively, till next date. Costs to follow the event.

Sd/-

{A.G.Santani}
Civil Judge Junior Division, Saoner.
Dist. Nagpur.

Saoner.
Date -05.12.2014.