

**IN THE COURT OF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE FIRST CLASS,**  
**KAMPTEE (COURT No.2)**  
(Chapter XX of Cr.P.C. Code)

**S.C.C. No. 1251/2025**

- 1 Date of Commission of the offence - 20.08.2025  
2 Date of Complaint or report - 20.08.2025  
3 Name of the complainant - State of Maharashtra  
Through P.S.O. PS. Old  
Kamptee.  
4 Name of the accused, age and  
residence Suresh Vinayak Tadse  
Age - 65 Yrs., Occu. Driver  
R/o. Behind Hockey building,  
near house of Mohan Tadse,  
Kamtpee, Dist.Nagpur  
5 Particulars of the offence -

That you accused on 20.08.2025 in between 16.05 to 16.20 hours at beside the gate of Krushiutpanna Bazar Samiti, Kamptee, found 'playing jugar' in the public place in contravention of provision under Section 12(a) of the Maharashtra Prevention of Gambling Act and within my cognizance.

**6. Plead of Accused**

Q.1 - Do you understood the charges now read over ?


Ans. - Yes.

Q.2 Do you received copy of the Charge-sheet?

Ans. - Yes.

Q.3 - Do you plead guilty ?


Ans. - Yes. I plead guilty voluntarily.

  
-----

Signature of accused

Date - 16.03.2026

Before me



(Rajesh R. Sherekar)  
J.M.F.C. (Court No.2), Kamptee.

ORDER

Particulars of offences against accused is framed at Exh.04. It is explained in vernacular to the accused. He pleaded guilty. I have personally verified accused. He stood abide by his plea of guilt. It is found voluntary. Hence, I have no hesitation admitting his plea of guilt. Thus, on his plea of guilt, the accused is hereby convicted for the offence punishable under Section 12(a) of the Maharashtra Prevention of Gambling Act.

At this point I take a pause to hear the accused on the point of sentence. Accused has submitted that he is poor person and *karta* of the family. He has urged for imposing of minimum fine.

Having given anxious thought to the submissions of the accused person and the facts and circumstances of the case, I am of the opinion that it is necessary to impose such a punishment that accused should understand the seriousness of his acts and deeds. In this case, the accused filed pursis (Exh.03) stating that he did not want to claim amount seized by the police in the crime. Hence, it would be decided after final disposal of the case. Hence, on the backdrop of above discussion, I proceed to pass following order:-

ORDER


1] Accused Suresh Vinayak Tadse is hereby convicted for the offence punishable under Section 12(a) of the Maharashtra Prevention of Gambling Act vide Section 252 of Code of Criminal Procedure and he is sentenced to suffer imprisonment till rising of court and also to pay fine of Rs.300/- (Three Hundred Rupees Only) in default which to suffer simple imprisonment for one day.

16/12/24


SCC No.1251/2025  
State Vs. Suresh  
Exh. No.04

- 2] Seized cash of Rs.970/- be credited to the Government, as per rule, after appeal period is over.
- 3] Copy of Judgment be given to the accused free of costs.  
(Judgment dictated and pronounced in open court)

Date – 16.03.2026

  
(Rajesh R. Sherekar)  
Judicial Magistrate First Class,  
(Court No.2), Kamptee.

*Fine Amt*  
*RS-300/- deposited*

  
*16/03/26*