

RCC No. 302563/2009
State -vs- Prashant Ingole and others.
Common Order Below Exh. 1 and 30
(Passed on 28.02.2025)

Read application Exh. 30 for separation of trial of accused No. 1 and say. Heard. Perused record. N.B.W. and proclamation against accused No.2 were issued. But, the accused No. 2 did not appear. The prosecution failed to secure the presence of accused No. 2. Three witnesses were examined by the prosecution. The matter is pretty old and is lingering since long because of absence of accused No. 2. In the circumstance, the trial of accused No.1 has to be separated from accused No. 2. Therefore, the application Exh. 30 is allowed. Hence, the following order:

ORDER

1. Trial of accused No.1 is separated from accused No.2.
2. Issue summons to the remaining witnesses.

Date : 28.02.2025

(U.P. Kulkarni)
Chief Judicial Magistrate,
Nagpur.

CERTIFICATE

I affirm that the contents of this P.D.F. file of Order are word to word, as per original Order.

Name of Stenographer- Gr.II : Pravin T. Mandale,
attached to C.J.M.,
Nagpur