

**BEFORE MEMBER, MOTOR ACCIDENT CLAIMS TRIBUNAL-1,**  
**NAGPUR**

(Presided over by P. B. Naikwad)

**MACP No.1188/2023**

Rajendra Vs. MSRTC + 1

**ORDER BELOW EXH.11**

(Passed on 21<sup>st</sup> November 2024)

Perused the application. No say filed by petitioner. Heard both learned counsels. Respondent No.1 has filed the present application for permission to file written statement on record. Learned counsel for respondent No.1 submitted that, for want sign and verification written statement was not filed within time. Hence permission to file w.s. be granted.

2] Learned counsel for petitioner opposed the said application. She submitted that sufficient opportunity was given to respondent No.1. Therefore application is not tenable it be rejected with costs.

3] Having regard to the submissions made by both learned counsels and considering the nature of dispute I am of the opinion that, for the just decision of the claim it is necessary to give an opportunity to respondent No.1 to defend the claim. However for delay respondent is liable to pay costs. Hence I pass the following order.

**ORDER**

- i) Application is allowed with costs.
- ii) Permission is granted to respondent No.1 to file written statement on record. Written statement be taken on record on depositing costs of Rs.500/- with legal aid.

Date : 21.11.2024

(P. B. Naikwad)  
Member, M.A.C.T.1,  
Nagpur.

**CERTIFICATE**

I affirm that the contents of this P. D. F. file of order are word to word, as per original order.

**(S.R. Chaple)**  
Stenographer (Grade-I)