

BEFORE THE MOTOR ACCIDENT CLAIMS TRIBUNAL, NAGPUR. AT NAGPUR. (Presided over R.S.Salgaonkar, Member, M.A.C.T-2, Nagpur)	
M.A.Claim Petition No.	1103/19
Exh. No.	20
Gurudeosingh	Petitioner
Versus	
Javed	Respondent

Order as to exhibiting of documents

This is a quasi Judicial proceeding before Tribunal. The basic rules of evidence particularly, about format proof are not applicable to tribunal. Procedure and Powers of accident Claim Tribunal (MACT) are defined in 169 18 of M.V. Act, 1988 which expressly provides for summary procedure. Therefore, for identification purpose, all the documents tendered in evidence are admitted and be exhibited distinctly. Every such document will be read in evidence as this a summary procedure. Medical bills and vouchers are admitted in evidence as per the ratio is the case of **Dr.Dattatray Laxman Shinde V/s. Nana Raghunath Hire [(2011)6 All M R 646 (Bom.)]** dead by Honourable Bombay High Court. It is with rider that, disability certificate (if any) and income certificate from private enterprise (if any) shall not be read in evidence unless formal proof as to contains are given.

The documents admitted are given exhibit number as follows-

Exhibit No.	Description of document
21	Copy of Form AA
22	Copy of Final Report
23	Copy of FIR

24	Copy of Crime Detail Form
25	Copy of Oral Report
26	Copy of Injury Report
27	Copy of Injury Report
28	Copy of Statement
29	Copy of Statement
30	Copy of Statement
31	Copy of Statement
32	Copy of Registration Certificate
33	Copy of Insurance receipt
34	Copy of licence
35	Copy of disability certificate
36	Copy of PAN card of applicant
37	Copy of discharge card
38	Copy of Aadhar card

[Evidence of witness No.1 for the Petitioner]

On solemn affirmation I depose that

My Name – Gurudeosingh Saini

Aged about - 50 Years,

Occupation- Nil.,

Address-Gurutejbahadur Nagar, Nari Road, Dist.Nagpur

**[Examination-in-Chief by Adv. Shri.Saurab Rangari holding for
Adv. Shri.PD.Naukarkar for Petitioner]-**

1] I have tendered my evidence on affidavit. Its contents are true and correct.

[Claim petition proceeded Ex-parte against Respondent No.1]

**[Cross-Examination by Adv.Shri.C.B.Pande for Respondent No.2]
(For insurance)**

2] The vehicle in which I was travelling was Mahindra Geneio Pickup Van bearing registration MH-40-N-4040 was driven by Yusufbhai. It is not true to say that, it so happened that Yusufbhai drove the vehicle in rash and negligent manner and

firstly collided with a truck and then collided with tractor.

3] It is not true to say that, I am deposing falsely that I was working as a mechanic. It is true to say that, I do not have any formal education to be a mechanic. It is not true to say that, I am deposing falsely that I was paid Rs.15,000/- as a salary from Saini Travels.

4] It is not true to say that, I have unnecessary impleaded Non applicant No.2 as party though there is no valid insurance. It is not true to say that, I have not sustained 70% permanent disability. It is not true to say that, I am deposing falsely.

[Cross-Examination Completed].

[Re-Examination Nil]

[Read over and admitted to be correct.]

Place : Nagpur

(Ratnakar S. Salgaonkar)

Dated : 08/08/2025

Member, M.A.C.T.-2, Nagpur.