

<b>BEFORE THE MOTOR ACCIDENT CLAIMS TRIBUNAL, NAGPUR. AT NAGPUR.</b> (Presided over R.S.Salgaonkar, Member, M.A.C.T-2, Nagpur)	
M.A.Claim Petition No.	999/23
Exh. No.	44
Yashika	Petitioner
Versus	
Sandeep	Respondent

**[Evidence of witness No.1 for the Respondent No.2]**

On solemn affirmation I depose that

**My Name – Nandkishor Harishchanadra Walde**

**Aged about - 55 Years,**

**Occupation- S.P,**

**Address-PS.Sitabuldi, Dist.Nagpur**

**[Examination-in-Chief by Adv. Shri.Vijay Wankhede holding of  
Shri.Hitesh Verma for Respondent No.2]- (For insurance)**

1] I am appearing as witness on court summons. I was asked to bring CCTV Footage. I could not find the CCTV Footage at police station regarding this case/accident. Therefore, I have not brought the CCTV Footage. Now the certificate from the charge sheet is shown to me. (It is marked as **Exhibit No.45**). The certificate states that I have received the pen drive. Regarding this I say that I have seen the CCTV Footage from the data base but I have not taken its copy during investigation.

2] From police papers I say that, the name of the driver of vehicle No.MH-49-DW-0945 Maruti Suzuki Car. What I remember is that he was the alone traveller in that car. There was no 'T' Mark on that vehicle indicating the driver has learning licence.

**[Case proceeded Ex-parte against Respondent No.1]**

**[Cross-Examination by Adv.Shri.PV.Gupta for Petitioner]**

**3]** It is true to say that, the car driver ran away from the spot.It is true to say that, I found the driver of the car after watching the CCTV Footage from the next square from the accident. The vehicle was seized during investigation.

**[Cross-Examination Completed].**

**[Re-Examination Nil]**

**[Read over and admitted to be correct.]**

Place : Nagpur

(Ratnakar S. Salgaonkar)

Dated : 10/03/2026

Member, M.A.C.T.-2, Nagpur.