

BEFORE THE MOTOR ACCIDENT CLAIMS TRIBUNAL, NAGPUR. AT NAGPUR. (Presided over R.S.Salgaonkar, Member, M.A.C.T-2, Nagpur)	
M.A.Claim Petition No.	582/24
Exh. No. 22	
Santosh	Petitioner
Versus	
Kashinath	Respondent

Order as to exhibiting of documents

This is a quasi Judicial proceeding before Tribunal. The basic rules of evidence particularly, about format proof are not applicable to tribunal. Procedure and Powers of accident Claim Tribunal (MACT) are defined in 169 18 of M.V. Act, 1988 which expressly provides for summary procedure. Therefore, for identification purpose, all the documents tendered in evidence are admitted and be exhibited distinctly. Every such document will be read in evidence as this a summary procedure. Medical bills and vouchers are admitted in evidence as per the ratio is the case of **Dr.Dattatray Laxman Shinde V/s. Nana Raghunath Hire [(2011)6 All M R 646 (Bom.)]** dead by Honourable Bombay High Court. It is with rider that, disability certificate (if any) and income certificate from private enterprise (if any) shall not be read in evidence unless formal proof as to contains are given.

The documents admitted are given exhibit number as follows-

Exhibit No.	Description of document
24	Original Permanent Disability Certificate
25	Verified copy of Discharge Card Arogyam Hospital
26	Original Surgery Bill, Arogyam Hospital

27	Original MLC Dunakhe Hospital
28	Original injury certificate
29	Original Medical Certificate Arogyam Hospital
30	Original X-ray.

[Evidence of witness No.1 for the Petitioner]

On solemn affirmation I depose that

**My Name – Santosh s/o.Vasant Ghag,
Aged about - 50 Years,
Occupation - Painter(At present Nil.),
Address – Vishnu Apartment, 301, Sonar Wada,
Dombivalli, (E) Mumbai.**

**[Examination-in-Chief by Adv. Smt Sumesha Choudhari/Borkar
for Petitioner]-**

1] I have tendered my evidence on affidavit. Its contents are true and correct.

Respondent No.1 is deleted(Driver)

[Claim petition proceeded Ex-parte against Respondent No.2] (For Owner)

[Cross-Examination by Adv.Shri.Mayur Pradhan for Respondent No.3] (For Insurance)

2] On the day of accident we were going to attend funeral of our relative including driver we were five occupant in the vehicle. It is true to say that, FIR was lodged after 19 days of accident. It is not true to say that, the vehicle was taken for heir. I am having good acquaintance with owner of the vehicle. Now I am unale to recollect the name of the owner of the vehicle. I do not remember the name of the driver.

3] I used to work as a painter. It is not true to say that, I have recovered from my injury. I cannot perform my routine activities. It is not true to say that, I can do my earlier work as

like before the accident. It is not true to say that, I have suffered permanent disability. It is not true to say that, I did not incur any medical expenses. It is not true to say that, my claim is false and exaggerated claim.

[Cross-Examination Completed].

[Re-Examination Nil].

[Read over and admitted to be correct].

Place : Nagpur

Dated : 11/03/2026

(Ratnakar S. Salgaonkar)

Member, M.A.C.T.-2, Nagpur.