In The Court of Additional Sessions Judge-6, Nagpur.

Presided over by

Shri. M. S. Ganorkar.

Cri. Bail Application No.692 Of 2026

CNR No: MHNG010034792026.

Crime No.655 of 2025, P. S. Ranapratapnagar.

Amar Sanjay Wagholkar.
V/s
State of Maharashtra.
(Through P.S. Ranapratapnagar.)

Order below Exh.1
(Date: 17th April 2026)

1. This is an application for issuance of directions as applicant/accused be released on bail, U/Section 483 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita. Applicant/accused booked in Crime No.655 of 2025, for the offences punishable U/Sections 318(4), 336(3), 338, 316(2), 61(2), 3(5) of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita.

2. Perused the application along with documents annexed here with and say/report of Investigating Officer/APP. Heard both the sides at length.

3. In the light of this facts emerged, submissions by the parties and material on the record, the following points arise for determination before this Court. The said points and the findings thereon which follow the reasons as:

Point For Determination.

Sr. No.	Point	Finding
1.	Whether the applicant/accused made out the case as to issuance of direction as to be released on bail, in the given set of facts?	...No.
2.	What order?	...Application rejected as per final order.

REASONS

As to the point no.1:

4. It is case of the applicant that on 28/10/2025 the complaint filed with Ranapratap Nagar police station for the offence under Sections 318(4), 336(3), 338, 316(2), 61(2), 3(5) of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita. It is alleged that the informant got in contact with one Sumit Patle. The informant came at Nagpur and met accused Sumit and thereafter they both resided at Rohit Ahir, House at Hingna, Nagpur. The accused person by keeping the informant in the dark had influenced him to Register a Small Scale Industry in the name of Dipak Enterprises for doing new business and they opened a bank account at Bank of Baroda, Hingna Branch. The accused persons without doing any business had made illegal transaction in between 13/10/2025 and 27/10/2025 approximately Rs.1.73 Crore was received and transferred through the said account to various persons without knowledge of the complainant. During the course of investigation police searched Hotel "ATITHI" at Manewada, Nagpur and thereafter, in the present crime police arrested the applicant on 10/12/2025. On the basis of the said allegations, the complainant lodged report to the police station under the offence of BNS.

5. The applicant submitted that he is innocent person and has played no role in the commission of present crime. The applicant has no nexus

with the present crime. He has been falsely implicated in the present crime. There is no specific allegations against the present applicant. There is no material evidence connecting him with the alleged transaction. If released on bail he is ready to abide by all conditions Hence, prayed that application be allowed.

6. The Investigating officer opposed the application on the ground that the offence is serious. The applicant has played key role in the transaction. The mobile No.9096533766 is seized by the police from Hotel Atithi and SIM of the said mobile was linked with bank account and accused made forge transaction during period from 13/10/2025 to 18/10/2025 an amount of Rs.97,95,664/- by the accused for his own benefit. The applicant is in constant contact with the co-accused Ashwin Bargav. Investigating is not completed and if the applicant released on bail, he will tamper with the evidence and witnesses. Hence, prayed that the application be rejected.

7. Learned Counsel for applicant submitted that role of the applicant in the said crime is not active role. The applicant is behind bar merely on the suspicion ground and there is no direct or indirect nexus on the present applicant. The investigation is almost completed and no purpose would be served by keeping the accused in jail for indefinite period. He is poor person and only bread earner. If the applicant is released on bail he is ready to abide by all conditions. Hence, prayed for grant of bail.

8. Learned APP argued that all the accused in this case they conspired and committed Cyber offence. They collected huge amount from the account of common people. They cheated innocence and collected huge amount for their benefit. If they are released on bail they will repeat such offence in future. The applicant participated in the

offence and earned huge benefit. The applicant has played key role in the transaction. He opened telegram group where group of chines person was found. He was transferred the amount abroad. He opened account in the name of Deepak Enterprises and the said account has given for using transaction to co-accused. Hence, he prayed for rejection of the application.

9. Learned Counsel for applicant submitted that whole of the investigation with the accused is over. His detention in custody will not suffice any purpose, but it is argued on behalf of the prosecution that in telegram one group was found. The applicant has played key role in the transaction. The mobile No.9096533766 is seized by the police from Hotel Atithi and SIM of the said mobile was linked with bank account and accused made forge transaction during period from 13/10/2025 to 18/10/2025 an amount of Rs.97,95,664/- by the accused for his own benefit. The applicant was having telegram group. The bank account and other details are also mentioned in that group. The investigation is not yet completed. If the accused is released on bail, he may tamper with the evidence. His involvement in crime is found out by the police and he had played the key role in the commission of the crime. Hence, the applicant is not entitled for bail. So application deserves to be rejected. Hence, I proceed to pass following order.

ORDER

1. Application is hereby rejected.

Dictated and pronounced in open Court.

Digitally signed by GANORKAR MANISH SHRIRAM
Date: 2026.04.17 18:03:57 +0530

(Manish S. Ganorkar)
Additional Sessions Judge-6,Nagpur.

Date : 17th April 2026.